PROCEEDINGS AT HEARING OF NOVEMBER 24, 2020

COMMISSIONER AUSTIN F. CULLEN

INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS		
Witness	Description	Page
	Proceedings commenced at 9:30 a.m.	1
	Discussion re procedural matters	2
Jesse Spiro (for the commission) Ian Place	Examination by Ms. Rose	3
(for the commission)	Proceedings adjourned at 10:31 a.m. Proceedings reconvened at 10:40 a.m.	52 52
Jesse Spiro (for the commission) Ian Place	Examination by Ms. Rose (continuing)	53
(for the commission)	Proceedings adjourned at 11:09 a.m. Proceedings reconvened at 11:15 a.m.	73 73
Jesse Spiro (for the commission)	Examination by Ms. Rose (continuing) Examination by Ms. Chewka Examination by Ms. Magonet	74 108 123
	Proceedings adjourned at 12:46 p.m. Proceedings reconvened at 12:56 p.m.	142 142
Jesse Spiro (for the commission)	Discussion re witnesses Examination by Mr. Gratl	142 143
	Proceedings adjourned at 1:36 p.m. to November 25, 2020	170

INDEX OF EXHIBITS FOR IDENTIFICATION Letter Description Page

No exhibits for identification marked.

INDEX OF EXHIBITS		
No.	Description	Page
255	Curriculum Vitae of Jesse Spiro	5
256	Curriculum Vitae of Ian Place	6
257	Chainalysis - The 2020 State of Crypto Crime report - January 2020	10
258	Chainalysis - The 2020 Geography of Cryptocurrency Report - September 2020	13
259	FATF Report - Virtual Assets Red Flag Indicators – September 2020	72
260	Chainalysis Reactor webpage	166

1	November 24, 2020
2	(Via Videoconference)
3	(PROCEEDINGS COMMENCED AT 9:30 A.M.)
4	THE REGISTRAR: Good morning. The hearing is now
5	resumed. Mr. Commissioner.
6	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Madam Registrar. Yes,
7	Mr. Martland.
8	MR. MARTLAND: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner, Ms. Rose
9	has conduct of today's evidence.
10	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Ms. Rose.
11	MS. ROSE: Mr. Commissioner, there are two witnesses
12	that we anticipate hearing from today, Mr. Jesse
13	Spiro and Mr. Ian Place, and both work for
14	Chainalysis Inc., a virtual assets tracing
15	company. Madam Registrar, both witnesses have
16	stated they will affirm.
17	THE REGISTRAR: Witnesses, would you please unmute
18	yourselves. Would each of you please state your
19	full name and spell your first name and last
20	name for the record.
21	THE WITNESS: (JS) My full name is Jesse Benjamin
22	Spiro. J-e-s-s-e. Last name Spiro, S-p-i-r-o.
23	THE REGISTRAR: Thank you. Mr. Place.
24	THE WITNESS: (IP) Ian Michael Place. I-a-n
25	P-l-a-c-e.

1	JESSE SPIRO, a witness
2	called for the
3	commission, affirmed.
4	IAN PLACE, a witness
5	called for the
6	commission, affirmed.
7	MS. ROSE: Mr. Commissioner, as with the evidence
8	yesterday, with the documents for today's panels
9	we have no qualms about them being shared on the
10	webcast. My expectation is that as we go
11	forward we can display both of these on the Zoom
12	and through the live stream.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Ms. Rose.
14	MS. ROSE: I also wish to alert to you the fact that
15	we are assisted today by Mr. Place with a
16	demonstration of the Chainalysis software. So I
17	would first propose to spend some time walking
18	through the witnesses' background, to mark their
19	CVs, and then to ask some preliminary questions
20	about Chainalysis's work before then turning to
21	the demonstration. I expect that I will have
22	some questions for Mr. Spiro following that
23	demonstration.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.

1	EXAM	INATION BY MS. ROSE:
2	Q	So, Mr. Spiro, you are the Global Head of Policy
3		and Regulatory Affairs at Chainalysis and you've
4		been in that role since January of 2019?
5	A	(JS) That is correct.
6	Q	And in that role you lead private sector
7		engagement with industry working groups and
8		represent Chainalysis at intergovernmental
9		meetings?
10	A	(JS) I do.
11	Q	You also assist in the drafting of publications
12		on regulatory affairs?
13	A	(JS) I do.
14	Q	And through this work you are familiar with
15		Chainalysis' assessment of trends and
16		typologies?
17	A	(JS) I am.
18	Q	And prior to this role with Chainalysis you were
19		Global Head of Threat Finance and Emerging Risks
20		at Refinitiv?
21	A	(JS) that is correct.
22	Q	And before that role 2015 and 2017 you were
23		employed with Thomson Reuters as head of
24		specialized research relating to cybercrime and
25		organized crime among other areas?

- 1 A (JS) That is correct.
- 2 Q And you are also an instructor for the
- 3 Association of Certified Anti-Money Laundering
- 4 Specialists?
- 5 A (JS) That is correct.
- 6 Q You have published on various typologies of
- 7 illicit activity connected with virtual assets
- 8 such as crypto grey markets and hacking as well
- 9 as publishing on regulatory developments?
- 10 A (JS) That is correct.
- 11 Q And Chainalysis is a company based in the United
- 12 States?
- 13 A (JS) Yes.
- 14 Q And you are joining us today from the
- Washington, DC area?
- 16 A (JS) That is correct.
- 17 MS. ROSE: Okay. Madam Registrar, I'd ask that
- Mr. Spiro's CV be presented on the screen.
- 19 Q Mr. Spiro, do you recognize this document to be
- 20 your CV?
- 21 A (JS) I do.
- MS. ROSE: Mr. Commissioner, I would ask that this be
- 23 marked as the next exhibit, which I believe is
- exhibit 256.
- THE REGISTRAR: 255.

1	MS.	ROSE: 255, my apologies.
2	THE	COMMISSIONER: That will be marked as 255.
3	THE	REGISTRAR: Exhibit 255.
4		EXHIBIT 255: Curriculum Vitae of Jesse Spiro
5	MS.	ROSE: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner. Madam
6		Registrar, I'm finished with this CV. Thank
7		you. Sorry, Madam Registrar, I just ask that
8		you stop screensharing. Thanks.
9	Q	Mr. Place, you began working for Chainalysis in
10		May of 2019 as a customer service or Customer
11		Success Manager?
12	A	(IP) Correct.
13	Q	And your role at that time was to assist clients
14		with technical integrations and provide
15		technical support?
16	A	(IP) Correct.
17	Q	And you now work as Director of Solutions
18		Architecture, a position you've held since
19		December of 2019?
20	А	(IP) Yeah, correct.
21	Q	And your role now includes technical integration
22		as it did before but now also includes work flow
23		guides, guidance on best practices in using the
24		Chainalysis software and development of

curriculum for Chainalysis training?

25

znam zy r		
1	A	Yeah, that's correct.
2	Q	And prior to joining Chainalysis you worked in
3		the anti-money laundering, fraud and risk
4		analysis area for an array of financial
5		technology companies?
6	A	Correct.
7	Q	And through your work at Chainalysis you are
8		adept at using the Chainalysis software to trace
9		virtual asset transactions?
10	A	Correct.
11	MS.	ROSE: Madam Registrar, could we please have
12		Mr. Place's CV be presented on the screen.
13	Q	Mr. Place, do you recognize this document as
14		your CV?
15	A	I do.
16	MS.	ROSE: Thank you, Madam Registrar.
17		Mr. Commissioner, I would ask in this the CV be
18		marked as the next exhibit.
19	THE	COMMISSIONER: 256.
20	THE	REGISTRAR: Exhibit 256.
21		EXHIBIT 256: Curriculum Vitae of Ian Place
22	MS.	ROSE:
23	Q	Thank you. Mr. Spiro, could you describe what

drew you to the virtual assets space?

(JS) Yeah, so in my previous positions when I

24

25

A

1		was with both Thomson Reuters and Refinitiv when
2		I oversaw threat finance and emerging risks, one
3		of spaces that we began to look into was the
4		emergence of digital payments and specifically
5		cryptocurrencies and the potential risks posed
6		as it pertained to anti-money laundering and
7		countering the financing of terrorism. As I
8		continued to develop my knowledge and capacity
9		around that technology in the space, I also
10		became familiar with some of the providers
11		within the space that were providing forensic
12		services and capabilities, and that is how I
13		became aware of Chainalysis. As I learned more
14		about the capabilities of their products and
15		what they could do in relation to following the
16		flow of illicit funds, it became very attractive
17		to me because I saw a market change in relation
18		to what I saw in relation to traditional
19		financial services. I can please.
20	Q	Sorry. Could you describe what Chainalysis's
21		products are?
22	А	(JS) Yes. So Chainalysis provides blockchain
23		forensics and investigative services. In
24		relation to the products we provide, and we will
25		demo on all three, we provide Reactor, which is

1 an investigative tool allowing investigators to investigate and follow the transaction history 2 3 and lifecycle in relation to cryptocurrency 4 payments. We have a product that is primarily 5 geared towards compliance purposes which is called KYT for Know Your Transaction wherein our 6 7 clients from the private sector can integrate with their EAPI and screen their transactions in 8 realtime to identify potential risks in relation 9 10 to exposure and the transaction history connected to those transactions. And then we 11 have a product called Kryptos which provides 12 market intelligence and specific information in 13 relation to entities that are within the 14 15 cryptocurrency ecosystem. Those are the products. We also provide services as well. 16 17 0 I understand that Chainalysis also publishes 18 certain publications. Could you tell me a little bit about those. 19 20 (JS) Yes. So we regularly publish different Α 2.1 kinds of publications. We put out a cryptocrime 22 report annually where we review all of the on 23 chain data and the information that we've collected to develop and generate new insights 24 that we share with the broader community in 25

1		relation to trends and other kinds of activity.
2		Recently we provided a geography report which
3		identified and mapped out the cryptocurrency
4		related activity around the globe and some of
5		the trends that we'd seen there. Sometimes we
6		publish specific case studies in relation to
7		certain kind of illicit activity and how we were
8		able to investigate them, different kinds of
9		information we were able to generate. We also
10		produce thought leadership in relation to
11		regulatory developments and how that regulation
12		aligns with different products and services
13		within the ecosystem as well.
14	MS.	ROSE: Madam Registrar, could I please have
15		appendix A, a 2020 cryptocrime report on the
16		screen.
17	Q	Mr. Spiro, is this one of the cryptocrime
18		reports that you were just discussing?
19	A	(JS) It is.
20	Q	And are you involved in consulting or authoring
21		on this report?
22	A	(JS) I am not the author. I have provided
23		feedback on the report and some feedback in
24		relation to regulation and potential impact it
25		has had in relation to some of the specific

25

1	insights that we have derived.
2	Q And at a very general level where does the data
3	in these reports come from?
4	A (JS) So in relation to the attributions and the
5	information that we were able to identify we've
6	also been able to identify the jurisdictions in
7	which entities exist, right, and so by way of
8	following the flow of funds we are able to
9	identify where the money is potentially going
10	geographically where the money is going, I
11	should say, geographically. So from there we're
12	able to kind of build out and develop insights
13	in relation to strategically what we're seeing
14	in relation to growth and other particulars
15	within different jurisdictions.
16	MS. ROSE: Mr. Commissioner, I would ask that this
17	document be marked as our next exhibit, which if
18	I'm counting correctly we are at 257.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: I think that's right. It will be
20	marked as 257.
21	THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 257.
22	EXHIBIT 257: Chainalysis - The 2020 State of
23	Crypto Crime report - January 2020

MS. ROSE: Thank you. And, Madam Registrar, could we

have the appendix B "2020 Geography of Crypto

1		Report" be put on the screen next.
2	Q	Mr. Spiro, do you recognize this document as
3		being the geography of cryptocurrency report you
4		were just discussing?
5	А	(JS) I do, yes. I believe I may have made a
6		mistake. I should reference in the cryptocrime
7		report I do also provide feedback in relation to
8		the cryptocrime and the regulatory impact
9		potentially on that, but what I was referencing
10		in relation to the geographic particulars
11		pertains to this report.
12	Q	So perhaps I could just ask you to go back and
13		answer my previous question with respect to the
14		cryptocrime report. At a general level where
15		does the data in the cryptocrime report come
16		from?
17	A	(JS) Yes. The data in the cryptocrime report
18		also is sourced in relation to what we find on
19		chain in relation to the illicit activity that
20		we were arable to identify and follow. So per
21		the illicit activity that we are able to
22		identify and then the blockchain monitoring and
23		tracing that we are able to conduct, we are able
24		to identify specific volumes and build out other
٥٢		

particulars in relation to those kinds of crime

1 typologies and then we share that information accordingly. 2 And with this report that's on the screen, the 3 0 4 Geography of Cryptocurrency Report, it's primarily from the data that Chainalysis sees in 5 terms of the starting and end point of 6 transactions; is that right? 7 (JS) Yes. So in relation to the flow of funds Α 8 and the transaction flow, that's how we are able 9 10 to identify specific information in metrics in 11 relation to the geographies. Now, I should add 12 that this isn't all encompassing and that what 13 we're following is in relation to entities. So transactions that occur between exchanges and 14 15 entities within the cryptocurrency ecosystem. In some jurisdictions there is also robust peer 16 17 to peer activity which potentially is direct 18 activity and interaction between users which 19 would not be accounted for necessarily in some 20 of the geography content that we produce. 21 0 So in both reports you are not purporting to 22 summarize the entire blockchain ledger? 23 (JS) Yes. I think that that's accurate. 24 we summarize is in relation to the activity that we see within what one could call the controlled 25

1	ecosystem.
2	THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry, I missed that last
3	phrase.
4	THE WITNESS: Within the controlled ecosystem.
5	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
6	MS. ROSE:
7	Q And as you said, the controlled ecosystem you're
8	referring to is entities like exchanges and
9	would that also include bitcoin ATMs?
10	A (JS) It would include ATMs. We prefer to call
11	them kiosks because in functionality, you know,
12	ATMs actually have additional controls in place
13	that sync and link to bank accounts, right,
14	whereas these kiosks do not, so it is an
15	important distinction I would make.
16	MS. ROSE: Thank you for clarifying.
17	Mr. Commissioner, could we have "The 2020
18	Geography of Cryptocurrency Report" be marked as
19	the next exhibit.
20	THE COMMISSIONER: 258.
21	THE REGISTRAR: Exhibit 258.
22	EXHIBIT 258: Chainalysis - The 2020 Geography
23	of Cryptocurrency Report - September 2020
24	MS. ROSE: Thank you, Madam Registrar. I'm done with

that document on the screen now.

25

1	Q	Mr. Spiro, could you tell the Commissioner about
2		Chainalysis's professional services team?
3	А	(JS) Yes. So Chainalysis also provides
4		investigative support directly. We have a
5		professional services team which is a team of
6		investigators from a diverse array of
7		backgrounds. Some of them are former government
8		investigators, some of them are investigators
9		that have just been within the cryptocurrency
10		space for a long time so they have deep subject
11		matter expertise and knowledge. And this team
12		can be utilized by our clients for specific
13		investigative support on a case-by-case basis.
14	Q	We heard evidence yesterday from our RCMP panel
15		members that the RCMP and other law enforcement
16		members are trained to use the Chainalysis
17		software and other software providers by
18		themselves. Could you elaborate on what skills
19		or experience the Chainalysis professional
20		services team have that differs from what law
21		enforcement might have.
22	А	(JS) I think the primary differentiator right
23		now would just be the experience that they have
24		in relation to investigative, so the deep
25		investigative experience that they have. We

1		have built out a robust training program and it
2		is in I think everyone's best interest that we
3		make the users themselves become what we would
4		call power users. We've seen the best successes
5		in growth as investigators themselves become
6		savvy and capable with the products. But in
7		some cases either because they are extremely
8		complex or if there's a very tight turnaround
9		and there's a lack of resources for the
10		investigators that are involved in their
11		abilities to execute the investigation in the
12		timetable or time frame that they need to, they
13		could employ our professional services team to
14		support.
15	Q	And, Mr. Spiro, is it fair to say that you
16		yourself do not actively provide these on the
17		ground expert level investigation services as a
18		member of the professional services team?
19	A	(JS) it is fair to say that I'm not a member of
20		the professional services team. I, in a former
21		life, was an analyst and investigator, but
22		these days that is not my remit.
23	Q	But nonetheless could you speak to when it might
24		be appropriate for law enforcement to engage or,
25		you know, not limiting it to law enforcement but

1 also the private sector where it might be appropriate to engage the professional services 2 3 team. (JS) Sure. I think one of the issues that we 4 Α 5 have potentially with the cryptocurrency ecosystem more broadly is you have frictionless 6 7 payments, right, and the speed and velocity with which these transactions can occur is very 8 different than in traditional financial 9 10 services. So sometimes when we speak about 11 urgency, right, in relation to things like a hack or theft or other kinds of illicit 12 activity, law enforcement might need to act 13 urgently and quickly to be able to recoup those 14 15 funds, to freeze those funds, et cetera, wherein they might utilize our professional services 16 17 team to assist them in doing that within the 18 proposed time frame. And turning now to the Chainalysis Reactor 19 20 software that you previously described, could 21 you speak to the type of proficiency needed to 22 operate that software? 23 (JS) Yes, so I would say that the software is fairly user friendly. Those that are 24 investigators we have found are able to become 25

1		proficient with our software. We do provide
2		training that comes with the procurement and
3		usage of Reactor software so we do provide that
4		as well initially. I mentioned that we also
5		have a more substantial training program that
6		can be utilized if investigators want to
7		continue to enhance their capabilities. But it
8		is something that you know, we have seen by and
9		large success from the investigators in law
10		enforcement that we work with.
11	Q	But nonetheless it does require some skill
12		building or some knowledge in order to use the
13		software. It's not quite so user friendly as
14		something like a Google search engine?
15	А	(JS) That is correct. Yes. It requires some
16		training. I would say for certain.
17	Q	And users would need to be able to direct their
18		tracing or direct their searches within the
19		software. The software will not direct them
20		exactly where to go; they will need to exercise
21		a certain level of judgment in how to proceed?
22	А	(JS) Yes. So to be clear, we provide data,
23		right, and we provide the information. But it
24		is up to the users to then effectively conduct
25		these investigations and make their own

1		determinations.
2	Q	Okay. I think that now might be an appropriate
3		time for us to proceed to the demonstration
4		portion of the hearing today and that will be
5		conducted predominantly by Mr. Place. I'll just
6		check to make sure that our hearing team is
7		ready to go ahead with that and then I
8		understand that the direction is for Mr. Place
9		to share his screen, but please correct me if
10		I'm wrong.
11	SUPP	ORT TECHNICIAN: We are ready.
12	MS.	ROSE: Great.
13	Q	Mr. Place if you could proceed. Thank you?
14	А	(IP) Sure. Absolutely. Give me just one
15		moment. All right. Can everyone see my screen
16		okay?
17	Q	Yes?
18	А	(IP) Okay. Great. So again today I'm going to
19		walk through our three core products, the first
20		being Kryptos, which is really our benchmarking
21		and profiling product for the different
22		cryptocurrency businesses within the space. I
23		will then walk through KYT, or Know Your
24		Transaction, which is our transaction monitoring

solution providing realtime alerts and other

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

restoring attributions. And then finally I'll
walk through Reactor, which is again is our
graphing, mapping and investigative tool to
follow the flow of funds visually and for
certain situations to perform enhanced due
diligence.

And so this first screen we are looking at here is Kryptos and so when you log into Kryptos you get this initial view where if you want to search by a given service you can type in the name here. When you see categories, essentially again what we do here at Chainalysis is that all of the alphanumeric strings and information on the public blockchain and on the ledger we tie those addresses to real world entities and services and those entities and services ultimately fall under different categories. So, for instance, if I look at our different categories that includes things such as hosted wallets, mining pools, exchanges, scams, stolen funds, these are the sort of types of categories that we are able to identify, services in which they fall under. And --

Q Mr. Place, sorry, just if I could interrupt you for just a moment.

Jesse Spiro (for the commission)
Ian Place (for the commission)
Exam by Ms. Rose

1	А	(IP) Sure.
2	Q	You are going to walk us through this software
3		but are you involved in determining which
4		entities fall within these categories?
5	А	(IP) I am not. We have a data operations team
6		that handles that.
7	Q	Okay. Thank you. Could you please continue
8		telling us about the Kryptos software.
9	А	(IP) Sure, absolutely. So, again, what you are
10		able to do is you are able to create your own
11		custom watch list where if there's maybe a
12		particular set of businesses that you would like
13		to monitor or benchmark or profile you can add
14		them to a custom watch list. Below that we also
15		have all the top 50 exchanges by volume. You
16		can sort by the different risk categories. We
17		can look at their country of headquarters. We
18		can look at some of the different fiat pairs
19		they have within their platform. But what's
20		really helpful is for example, I'm click on
21		Gemini. We can see a really robust profile for
22		the respective businesses, and so again, to sort
23		of add or remove things from that watch list we
24		have an easy feature here. But what's really
25		helpful is this information on the left-hand

Jesse Spiro (for the commission)
Ian Place (for the commission)
Exam by Ms. Rose

1 side. Again, this is going to be a Chainalysis risk rating. 2 And, Mr. Place, are you -- sorry again. Are you 3 4 involved in categorizing these entities as being low or high risk? Are you involved in 5 populating the risk ratings that we see on the 6 7 left-hand side of the screen here? (IP) I am not. Sorry, go ahead, Jesse. Α 8 9 (JS) I can field that question, Kelsey. So 10 I am involved in how we have developed our risk rating. Essentially we have taken a number of 11 indicators coupled with specifics that have come 12 13 from data, for example, in relation to the red flags and typologies and we've coupled that 14 15 information in relation to how we score risk, if you will, in relation to entity. 16 17 Q Right. But, Mr. Place, that's not your area of 18 expertise, is it? (IP) Correct. I don't work in the risk ratings 19 Α 20 of the different profiles and services within 21 Kryptos. 22 Thank you. 0 23 Α (IP) No problem.

Please continue.

(IP) Sure. No problem. So, again, below that

24

25

Α

1	we have some information including the legal
2	names. We have some headquarters information.
3	We have the country of incorporation, place of
4	incorporation, again the fiat pairs. We also
5	see all the different assets that are traded or
6	accepted on the platform. If you want to expand
7	that list you can easily to do so there. And
8	then additionally we see if there's any stable
9	coins offered, privacy coins and rating fares.
10	We additionally also have information on the
11	most recent news associated to these given
12	platforms or potential negative news or adverse
13	media or just general information about the
14	business in the space. Below that we have
15	alerting functionality which you can see sort of
16	the conditions for which that is set over here,
17	so, for instance, we can see that on the week of
18	September 20 to October 5th there was increased
19	exposures to high risk exchanges and some other
20	categories. If you'd like to further drill down
21	to the specific transactions that led to those
22	spikes in activity you can very easily click on
23	here and identify the specific transactions.
24	What is really helpful as well is we are

able to then also identify the varying volumes

2.1

of transactions to the different categories and services that the business interacts with. So, for instance, you can look at all the different types of activity. You can look at the non-risky activity; look at the risky activity. You have custom date ranges which you can select if you want a particular date range you'd like to examine. But again, just a really helpful way to identify any spikes in activities. So, for instance, on the week of March 9th to March 15th there was over \$466 million being transferred between exchanges and then, you know, some other categories that we also have identified there.

Additionally we can benchmark this service against other services and see kind of where everyone stands within, you know, relative to one another. So, for instance, when we're looking at the different volumes we can see for instance that, you know, comparing the week of March 2nd to March 8th this platform was well below the volumes of the top 50 exchanges in some of the high risk exchanges. Below that we also have the top counterparties for this particular service so, we can see for instance

Jesse Spiro (for the commission) Ian Place (for the commission) Exam by Ms. Rose

1		Coinbase and OKEx and Bitstamp were some of the
2		largest counterparties to this particular
3		platform that we are looking at for an example.
4		Below that we can also see the total number of
5		counterparties. And so, for instance, we can
6		see a spike here on the week of November 16th,
7		so just recently, a total of 6,891
8		counterparties, and again, if you want to change
9		by the different assets you can click here and
10		view those accordingly.
11		So for the most part also click on the
12		alerts tab to show this functionality. This is
13		going to be sort of a comprehensive list of all
14		of the different services you've added to your
15		watch list and all the respective alerts that
16		they've generated. If you want to filter by
17		particular alert types or by particular
18		categories from which those alerts generate, you
19		can do so easily here. And for the most
20		part that's kind of Kryptos in a nutshell, and I
21		think that kind of provides a nice sort of level
22		of insight into what this product does and some
23		of the features behind it.
24	Q	Well, thank you. Maybe we could sorry, did I
25		interrupt you there? Did you

1	А	(IP) No, no, no. Go right ahead.
2	Q	I was saying if that's it for Kryptos maybe we
3		could move on to the KYT, Know Your Transaction
4		software.
5	А	(IP) Sure. So here within the KYT solution
6		again this is really the heart of our
7		transaction monitoring solution for our clients.
8		What we have here is the initial dashboard view
9		when you log in. So this is a really great
10		organizational snapshot of the activity on the
11		given platform and the transactions being
12		screened. So this is going to provide a lot of
13		sort of macrolevel insights so when we see
14		"alerts overview" these are essentially the
15		transactions that have been flagged for review
16		based on the parameters that you set within our
17		alerts, which I'll be sure to go over in more
18		detail as we walk through alerts. You see this
19		user overview. This is essentially the user
20		risk segments on the profile because essentially
21		the way it worked on the platform, excuse me.
22		The way it works is that ultimately
23		transactions, you know, an individual client on
24		a platform may have more than one transaction.
25		We can then baged on these different

We can then based on those different

Jesse Spiro (for the commission)
Ian Place (for the commission)
Exam by Ms. Rose

1		transactions assign a risk score based on their
2		overall activity. So if you want to drill down
3		to the specific subset of users from here you
4		can do so easily there. Additionally we see
5		information on the latest alerts generated, the
6		different categories from which they were
7		generated, the amounts of which those
8		transactions occurred. And then to the right of
9		that we have again that transfer volume per
10		category chart, and for this example I just
11		selected just the risky activity and what we can
12		do is, for instance, see that on the week of
13		August 10th to August 16th there was a large
14		spike in gambling activity, about \$1.6 million.
15		If you want to look at both received and sent
16		transactions you can do so easily there. And
17		just to clarify, a received transaction is a
18		deposit to a platform and a sent transaction is
19		a withdrawal from a platform. So currently we
20		are looking at both deposits and withdrawals to
21		get this sort of figure, but again, you can
22		select by either-or accordingly or potentially
23		respective category of interest.
24	Q	Just to interrupt briefly, Mr. Spiro, and sorry
25		Mr. Place if you could just scroll up slightly.

1	A	(IP) Sure.
2	Q	Thank you. Mr. Spiro, under the latest alerts
3		on the left-hand side we see that there's
4		darknet market flags. Could you perhaps
5		describe what type of activity would lead to
6		that type of a flag?
7	A	(JS) If I understand the question, you mean in
8		relation to the connection to darknet markets,
9		you're not asking about darknet markets and the
10		kind of activity they support themselves. Is
11		that correct?
12	Q	I'm just only asking what would lead would lead
13		to this type of flag in this KYT software?
14	A	(JS) We've been able to identify transactions in
15		and out of darknet markets. Darknet markets are
16		inherently high risk because of the kind of
17		activity that they support. So identifying that
18		kind of exposure and interaction with a darknet
19		market would lead to these kinds of alerts.
20	Q	So would it be fair to say that this flag would
21		not necessarily mean that, you know, someone had
22		purchased Fentanyl, it would simply mean that a
23		purchase was made in a darknet market?
24	А	(JS) Yes, there was some kind of transaction
25		aggogiated with the darknot markets. Darknot

associated with the darknet markets. Darknet

1		markets aren't only connected to illicit
2		narcotics. They have a number of different
3		kinds of products and services that are provided
4		on them.
5	Q	Thank you. Sorry for interrupting there,
6		Mr. Place. If could you please continue to
7		describe the rest of the software.
8	А	(IP) No, absolutely. What I'll do that I think
9		will provide some more context is that I can
10		show the different parameters for which we set
11		this and how these alerts are generated. I
12		think that will help provide some additional
13		context as well. But below that we have an
14		exposure wheel and this particular data visual
15		is very common throughout our products. You'll
16		also see this as we walk through Reactor. And
17		the way it works is that you'll this outer ring.
18		That's going to represent the direct exposures
19		to various services that fall under those
20		perspective categories, and what that means is
21		that it is a direct counterparty in a
22		transaction. So if we, for instance, look at
23		the direct receiving exposure from exchanges
24		then we see that the majority of that is coming
25		from Coinbase, that means that Coinbase is a

1	direct counterparty sending to this platform
2	that we're looking at this example data for.
3	And so when we look at on the contrary the inner
4	ring so, for instance, let's look at the
5	inner ring of indirect sending exposure to
6	gambling, what this means is that funds are
7	going indirectly from the platform to
8	intermediary addresses, to an intermediary
9	address or numerous intermediary addresses
10	before reaching that next known service, and the
11	reason that occurs is that a very sort of common
12	and inherent aspect of the cryptocurrency space
13	is the idea of a personal onposted wall. So a
14	wall that is not hosted by a platform, you
15	yourself have the private keys and you are
16	managing the funds yourself. And so when funds
17	are being sent to or from there they're not
18	coming directly from a service and subsequently
19	they will then have what we call indirect
20	exposure. And indirect exposure is definitely
21	an important concept that I'll walk through
22	within Reactor to kind of show you what that
23	looks like and how that can kind of be examined,
24	because really the key point with indirect
25	exposure that we are trying to identify

1 potential change of ownership or to see if there was someone else who may have come across those 2 3 funds or if the person in question is in fact the one who conducted those transactions 4 5 indirectly. Below that we have a topic named counterparties which again is just kind of 6 showing you who you are transacting with the 7 most. So you want to sort by the total number 8 9 of transactions, total number of deposits, some 10 of these other parameters us you can do so here 11 and -- sorry, go ahead. 12 Sorry, I want to understand that when you say 0 13 they are counterpart to a transaction can you -and I'm not sure if this is a question for 14 15 Mr. Spiro, so please jump in, Mr. Spiro, if it's for you, but could you describe what you mean by 16 17 being a counterpart [sic] to a transaction and 18 is that something that's being recorded from the 19 blockchain ledger or what does that mean? 20 (IP) So essentially what this is saying is that Α 2.1 this platform is a counterparty. So, like, what 22 we are looking at within KYT we are assuming --23 we will just assume we're a fake platform. 24 this is platform X exchange X. And --25 It would be typically used by private sector Q

1		entities to review the transactions of their
2		clients on their own exchange?
3	А	(IP) Correct. And so what we are looking at
4		essentially is saying which other platform has
5		been sending us funds or which other platform
6		have we been sending funds to, and those can be
7		both direct counterparties and indirect
8		counterparties. So right now we are looking at
9		both direct and indirect counterparties. But if
10		I want to say sort by just the direct
11		counterparties, I could click direct only and
12		now I'll look at the results for people that we
13		are interacting with directly. Does that help
14		clarify?
15	Q	It does. And so basically if I'm understanding
16		this correctly, and you know, I'm going to put
17		it to you and please if there's anything that
18		I've captured incorrectly please let me know.
19		But basically this is if you have a client on an
20		exchange and they are depositing bitcoin or
21		another virtual asset in their account within
22		the exchange or they are receiving money from
23		outside the exchange, it's being deposited into
24		their exchange account, and then this would be
25		shown in the counterparties here, it would be

1		showing where those transactions originate?
2	A	(IP) Correct. Yeah, these are for external
3		sources of funds. So it there is a
4		cryptocurrency deposit, so someone is funding
5		their account with cryptocurrency, not from that
б		platform, that would be like an external
7		deposit. And then on the contrary, if someone
8		is sending funds elsewhere that would be a
9		withdrawal that they are sending funds
10		externally from that platform to another
11		platform.
12	Q	Would this be limited to virtual asset
13		transactions or would it also include fiat
14		transactions?
15	A	(IP) This is just virtual asset transactions.
16	Q	Thank you.
17	A	(IP) Sure. And so what I'll do is I'll quickly
18		touch on users and transfers. Alerts is
19		probably the most exciting piece of this all
20		where really sort of the core functionality
21		within this solution lives, but I'll quickly
22		touch on users and transfers. And so what
23		you're able to do is here is in the users
24		tab you can again sort by the different risk
25		scores. So maybe I want to look at just my high

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

2.4

25

1 risk users. Let's say opened or closed cases because we do have some case management 2 functionality in here for our clients to better 3 work and handle the different alerts and 4 information that they receive from us. So, for 5 instance, if I wanted to say look at all my high 6 risk clients with open or active cases I could 7 simply sort of segment those users here. If I'd 8 like to export this information to CSB and work 9 10 elsewhere I would be able to do so easily. 11

Then when we look at the transfers view it's actually kind of similar in that again we can filter by particular date ranges. Maybe there's like an audit for a business or they're getting requested transactions over a given date range, they can kind of easily sort that here. Again if they want to filter by the different categories and then the different services within those categories they can also do so there. And what you do see here is with some of these transactions there is a little indicator saying that this transaction did have an alert associated to it, and so for instance here we can see that this particular transaction generated a high risk alert because there's more

1		than \$100 received directly from a darknet
2		market. And as we are kind of talking about how
3		these alerts are generated I think it would make
4		the most sense to go into the alerts tab and
5		show you how you are able to adjust these
6		parameters. And so now that we are in this
7		alerts view we are first going to see all of the
8		alerts that have been on review because, again,
9		as you work through these alerts we have
10		different alerts statuses for you to help manage
11		and sort of move those alerts along the
12		different statuses. And so what happens is if I
13		click on rules here, I can then see all of the
14		different parameters for which these alerts
15		generate. This is fully customizable in the
16		sense that if I wanted to change these risk
17		severities or dollar amounts accordingly based
18		on the varying categories, I can do so here. To
19		the left you see sorry, go ahead.
20	Q	Sorry, when you say customizable, I take it that
21		the exchange is able to set their own risk
22		tolerance and items that might be concerning for
23		the users of their exchange and not concerning
24		and they are able to adjust this software to
25		reflect their own risk assessments?

1	А	(IP) Exactly. Yeah, this allows them. And as
2		we were talking about the concept of direct and
3		indirect exposure, you'll have the ability to
4		adjust the parameters for both of that and then
5		subsequently assign the severity that you
6		believe those different conditions align with.
7		Then you can change the dollar amount as well.
8		So really entire customization of these alerts
9		and how you are able to sort of configure and
10		align them to your respective risk appetite and
11		regulatory requirements.
12	Q	And so you know, an exchange could in theory
13		hypothetically be operating in a situation in
14		which they have no concerns about darknet
15		transactions, for example, and they might see
16		that there is an alert in the software but they
17		can be free to disregard it if they so choose?
18	A	(IP) Correct.
19	Q	And, sorry, I see Mr. Spiro is trying to chime
20		in here. Mr. Spiro, perhaps I direct that
21		question to you.
22	А	(JS) Yes, so hypothetically that is something
23		that could happen. But what I would posit and
24		add is that if an exchange were using this

product, for example, we would probably be

1		acting under the guise that they fell under
2		regulation to be doing so. And so if they are
3		regulated, right, and if they have are under
4		regulatory supervision, if they are going to
5		dismiss alerts, right, and it is within their
6		risk appetite depending upon the organization.
7		If they were to do that they need to able to
8		justify to the regulators based on an
9		examination or audit why they made that
10		determination and why they dismissed those
11		alerts versus escalating them.
12	Q	Right but they don't need to make that
13		justification to Chainalysis. They would need
14		to make that with the regulator?
15	A	(JS) Yes, correct.
16	Q	Thank you.
17	A	(IP) Sure. I was going to say one minor
18		distinction that is important, too, is that
19		again with direct exposure we know definitively
20		that that's the counterparty, so at that point
21		we know that is clear-cut, we know that is
22		definitely who they received or sent from. But
23		with indirect, that is where often times you
24		might need to go into Reactor and determine if
25		there was a change of ownership along those

1		indirect flow of funds and I've a particular
2		example I'll show that's a real world scenario
3		of a client who received an alert or indirect
4		exposure to something illicit. I'll kind of
5		walk you through how they are able to make that
6		assessment to determine if the person on their
7		platform is in fact the one to conduct a bad
8		activity after they move the funds. And so I'll
9		be sure to walk through that and help provide
10		some more clarity on kind of what that looks
11		like as well.
12		And so again to your point.
13	Q	We'll turn it back over to you, Mr. Place.
14	A	(IP) Sure. So for the most part, again, like
15		when you go back to the alerts view, I want to
16		look at these respective alerts, I can click on
17		them here. This is a randomly this is like
18		an anonymized user ID. This is just so that our
19		
		clients are able to pair client on their
20		clients are able to pair client on their platform to users in our system. This is
20 21		
	Q	platform to users in our system. This is
21	Q	platform to users in our system. This is something that we have a lot of people

if you could zoom in a little bit.

25

1 A (IP) Yeah.

2 Q Perhaps it's just owing to the size of my

3 screen. That's much better. Thank you very

4 much.

13

24

5 A (IP) No problem. So this user ID is just a way

for the clients of our solution to identify and

7 pair one to one which client on their platform

8 is associated to the given transactions and

9 alerts. And so this is something where just to

10 be kind of explicitly clear we don't allow any

11 PII or anything sensitive. We just want it to

12 be something anonymized where they can say okay,

I know that's whoever on my platform. So you'll

see this user ID here. For instance we can see

15 that this user generated this alert which is

16 again a medium risk alert for an indirect send

to a darknet market, and we can see here the

condition for which that alert was triggered by.

19 And the way it really works is that from here

20 clients again are able to move these alerts into

21 different statuses and to kind of move along the

22 work flow. And then additionally kind of the

next step from here, particularly again with

indirect exposure, is they could then map that

25 out within Reactor. So we have a lot of

Α

1		functionality where it's very easy to go into a
2		profile. You can tick the transaction if
3		there's an alert on. You can open that in
4		Reactor and begin your graphing and
5		investigative piece there.
6		And so now that we've kind of touched on KYT
7		a bit I'll now move into Reactor and show you a
8		real world example on how this was employed.
9	Q	And just to remind just to be clear, the
10		Reactor software is where you can actually trace
11		virtual asset transactions?
12	А	(IP) Correct. Yep, this is our visualization
13		tool that's going to help you follow those flow
14		of funds and visually identify that. And so
15	Q	And so is this oh, so sorry. Is this the
16		tool that would be predominantly used by law
17		enforcement as compared to the KYT which would
18		be predominantly used by private virtual asset
19		service providers?
20	А	(IP) Yeah, that's absolutely correct.
21	Q	Thank you.
22	А	(IP) Yeah, no problem. Sorry for cutting you
23		off there.
24	Q	Not at all.

(IP) Great. So when we come into the Reactor

1		product I already have an example graph pulled
2		up, but there's a way where you can have all of
3		your graphs and work that you've been collecting
4		in this My Graph section, but the way it works
5		is that again you can either copy and paste and
6		address or transaction directly into the search
7		bar or directly on to the graph and that
8		information will paste accordingly. But to kind
9		of provide some more context and rather than
10		starting from scratch I think it's helpful to
11		show a real world example. So this right here,
12		this hexagon that you see, this is one of our
13		clients and this is their platform that uses
14		KYT. And they essentially received an alert
15		saying you have indirect sending exposure to
16		sanctions, and so
17	Q	Mr. Place, again I'm sorry to interrupt. I'm
18		again having a feeling that I need to pull out
19		my glasses. So I was just wondering if you
20		could zoom in a bit.
21	A	(IP) Yeah. Is that any better? Is that better?
22	Q	It's a little better. Yeah.
23	A	I don't know if I can zoom in much more than
24		that because it gets a little skewed. But is
25		that okay?

1	Q	I think that's okay for now, but thank you.
2		That's much improved, yes. Thank you.
3	A	(IP) Yeah, yeah, no problem. Sorry about that.
4		So again, what we're looking at here is a real
5		world example where a client of ours received an
6		alert saying you have indirect sending exposure
7		to sanctions and so what's interesting here is
8		that again this is the concept of indirect
9		exposure. So just for purpose of example let's
10		say that the platform was this one that I've
11		labelled "case 1" and they sent out to the
12		sanctioned entity, that would be direct
13		exposure. This is the direct counterparty of
14		this cluster. And when I use the word
15		"cluster" sorry, go ahead, Kelsey.
16	Q	Sorry, I'm just wondering you use the word
17		"sanctioned entity." What does that mean? And
18		perhaps, again, if this is a question for
19		Mr. Spiro perhaps he can jump in.
20	A	(JS) I can. Thank you. So when we're
21		referencing sanctioned entity it doesn't mean no
22		cryptocurrency wallet itself is sanctioned.
23		However, there are cryptocurrency wallet
24		addresses that have been included in a number of
٥٢		

sanctions designations. So as it pertains to

25

	sanctions that's how it is flagged and
	identified. But there are not sanctions on the
	wallet itself. However
Q	And when sorry, please continue.
А	(JS) Interaction with that with those wallets
	potentially triggers reporting requirements and
	identification to the Office of Foreign Asset
	Control in the United States.
Q	And you said that there are sanctions lists that
	are prepared or designated. Is that designation
	performed by Chainalysis or where does that
	who prepares that sanctions list?
А	(JS) The office of [connection disruption]
	which is part of the US Department of Treasury
	produces the sanction sets, what's known as
	sanctions packages for a number of different
	kinds of current sanctions that exist in the US.
	As it pertains to virtual assets you've seen
	sanctions applied in relation to cybercrime,
	what's known as the cyber IO designations.
	You've also seen them applied in relation to
	what's known as kingpin designations connected
	to illicit narcotic activity as well.
Q	Sorry, I'm not sure if it was just me, but it
	A Q

cut out the very start of your answer there. I

1 was just wondering the name of the office within the US Treasury Department is what? 2 (JS) The Office of Foreign Asset Control, known 3 Α 4 as OFAC. 5 Q Thank you. Mr. Place, back to you. (IP) sure. Sorry about any misconception there 6 Α or apologies for that. So again, essentially 7 this is a client who received an alert for 8 9 indirect sending exposure to this wallet in 10 question, and so what's really great, though, is that this tool will enable them or investigators 11 12 to make the determination is the person who sent 13 from here to here the same person that sent from here to the illicit activity in question. And 14 so there's kind of a few ways that we can make 15 that assessment quickly and that we can kind of 16 17 feel confident in the conclusion we are coming 18 to. And so the first is we can look at the 19 actual timing of the transactions, and so for 20 instance, this transaction, the first one was 2.1 facilitated on the January 21st at 8:30 a.m. and 22 you see these external sources that I've pulled 23 up here because the reason why I did is 24 essentially we can see that the funds from the 25 platform were sent here and then a larger amount

1	was sent on to the illicit activity. What we
2	can do is we can then take a look at some of the
3	other external funding sources to get a better
4	picture of the activity in question. And so
5	when we start to look at the time of the
6	transactions to again 11:04 a.m. the same day,
7	12:19 the same day, 12:19, 9:30 a.m., 10:30 a.m.
8	and 12:19 p.m. we then look at the amount of the
9	transactions heading up to this 1.97. We can
10	also look at this transaction here and see that
11	this occurred at 12:44 p.m. So all of this
12	activity prior to happened before this
13	transaction was sent on, so to me it's pretty
14	clear that it indicates there was a
15	consolidation of funds from numerous different
16	platforms and services to which they wanted to
17	sort of consolidate these funds and then move
18	them on to this address in question.
19	And what's really great, though, is that the

And what's really great, though, is that the kind of next piece in determining this is that so we see the amounts adding up to the same. We see the times indicating that the funds were consolidated and then moved on. What we'll then want to do is click on this cluster. I should just kind of clarify what I mean by cluster is

1 that what we do here at Chainalysis is that when we know the different wallets or addresses that 2 3 an entity or a service operates, we cluster 4 those together. So for instance, part of the 5 funding sources for this particular transaction came from a scam known as RedeX.red and when we 6 look at that we have 124,000 addresses clustered 7 to them. So any time someone in our system 8 9 searches any one of those 124,000 addresses, it 10 will come back and say yeah, this is RedeX.red. 11 So the reason that I mention that is that when we look at some of these smaller clusters when 12 13 we talked about personal unhosted wallets or 14 wallets that are not operated on platforms, they have characteristics that are quite different 15 than services. So, for instance, even he though 16 17 this is a scam, it's still ultimately a service 18 and we can see hundreds of thousands of transactions, hundreds of thousands of 19 20 addresses. Just a lot of activity, a lot of 2.1 volume. When we look at this intermediary 22 cluster here we can see seven addresses total, 23 just a few number of transactions total, and 2.4 this kind of indicates that this is very likely 25 to be a personal unhosted wallet. Again,

1		meaning that this is someone's wallet or wallets
2		that are not on a given platform. It's maybe on
3		their thumb drive. It's on their cellphone.
4		It's on their desktop. It's a wallet that they
5		own the private keys for and it's not a platform
6		or a service that holds those funds. And when
7		you take that into consideration that pretty
8		definitively means for us that it's very likely
9		that this person here also operates this wallet
10		and so when we take in all the factors of the
11		timing of the transactions, the amounts in which
12		it adds up to and that the intermediary is very
13		likely to be a personal unhosted wallet, you can
14		feel quite confident in saying that the person
15		who sent from here to here is in fact the same
16		person who sent from here to the OFAC designated
17		national. So that's kind of in a nutshell how
18		this product can be used to identify potential
19		changes of ownership or non-potential changes of
20		ownership within transactions and again really
21		helpful when we're talking about indirect
22		exposures. Do you have any questions for me on
23		what you are seeing here?
24	Q	Yeah, I just wonder if you could build on these
25		little nodes and connections and just describe

for us, you know, for example the blue lines 1 that are emanating between two nodes, can you 2 3 explain to me what those represent. (IP) Sure. So this intermediary address right 4 Α here what I was able to do is I go here to the 5 counterparties tab and we're adding in the 6 different funding sources for which this wallet 7 had received funds. So I added the ones of most 8 interest and I can add the other additional two 9 10 here. And so now we're looking at every 11 potential funding source for this wallet. So 12 again, like when we see these lines it's just me 13 highlighting them, but you can again see all of the different activity coming in and out of this 14 15 cluster in question. So again, this cluster is made up of seven addresses or you can think of 16 17 it as different wallets and we are able to again 18 look at all of the differential funding sources 19 from those. 20 So some of those are again going to be more 2.1 personal unhosted wallets. Some of them are 22 services like the platform that's a client of 23 And then additionally we see, like, a So you'll see a lot of different funding 24 scam. sources. And what I would mention is that this 25

1		kind of concept of sending to personal unhosted
2		wallets and then to illicit or risky services is
3		kind of like a very common but easy to identify
4		obfuscation technique where in the sense that
5		bad actors and others are potentially aware that
6		you shouldn't be some of the sort of ins and
7		outs of what's advantageous for them or not and
8		because of this it's definitely something where,
9		you know, you can really kind of easily identify
10		this and even if there was additional layers of
11		obfuscation you can continue to map that out and
12		identify that with this particular product.
13	Q	When you say "funding sources," is this all
14		information that is being recorded in the
15		virtual assets blockchain?
16	A	(IP) Yes. These are all transactions on the
17		public blockchain.
18	Q	And so is it fair to say that these transactions
19		do not involve these transactions all involve
20		coins that have a public ledger like bitcoin?
21	A	(IP) Correct. And one thing I should mention is
22		this graph is just for bitcoin. We don't
23		currently have the ability to look at multiple
24		tokens within one graph. So right now you can
25		see up here as notated by the BTC icon we are

just looking at bitcoin transactions. 1 And could you explain to me. I understand that 2 0 Chainalysis Reactor software is intended to 3 4 essentially make the blockchain ledgers user 5 friendly such that you don't require a good deal of sophistication to be able to read the actual 6 blockchain itself, that, you know, the average 7 user can understand what they are looking at. 8 9 And I wonder how could -- would it be possible 10 for a user to review these transactions without 11 the Chainalysis software if they had the 12 requisite sophistication to review the 13 blockchain ledger themselves? (IP) No, because the key here -- sorry. 14 Α ahead Jesse. 15 (JS) So essentially there are open source 16 17 kinds of software like a block explorer that an 18 individual could try and use to conduct an investigation, but due to the complexities, you 19 20 know, you have this series of alphanumeric 2.1 hashes that encompass a wallet and what you will 22 find consistently is that if you tried to use a 23 block explorer comparatively, the determinations 2.4 and attributions that you would make, especially 25 in relation to transaction that has a relatively

25

1		light degree of complexity, meaning multiple
2		transactions occur and then consolidations
3		et cetera, the attributions would not be
4		accurate. You cannot account using an open
5		source block explorer for some of the kinds of
6		activity that occur.
7		(IP) correct.
8	Q	If I were wishing to look at just let's for
9		example see there's a transaction here with I
10		think it's 14HCPH4. Yes. If I wanted to see
11		just that transaction into this case 1 node,
12		would I be able to view that transaction by
13		itself on the blockchain ledger without the
14		Chainalysis software?
15	А	(JS) You could see a single transaction. You
16		might not be able to identify where it had gone
17		in relation to the fact that we actually
18		identified the entities. So what you would see
19		is the transaction from one wallet with that
20		wallet address to another wallet. You wouldn't
21		be able to say that this transaction went to
22		Coinbase or Gemini or Bitfinex, for example.
23	Q	Right. And so the added value that's provided
24		by the software here is the sort of

interpretation of the data, but the data would

be itself available to users? 1 It is blockchain public data, yes. 2 Α 3 It would just be -- Chainalysis provides the service of, for example, knowing the hashes, if 4 I can use that right, knowing the hashes that 5 are used by Binance or Bitfinex or other 6 services and so they're able to apply that on to 7 this software; is that correct? 8 9 Α (JS) It's slightly more complex but basically, 10 yes. Essentially what Chainalysis provides is 11 an analysis, right, so is intelligence and 12 analysis of the data to provide further 13 intelligence and insight in relation to what you're seeing. Not only does that make it more 14 15 digestible, but it also assist it's in relation to being able to effectively investigate and 16 17 identify new potential leads as well, if we're talking about Reactor, for example. 18 Okay. I think that's a big help. Thank you for 19 20 that clarification. And, Mr. Place, is there 21 any further review of these transactions that 22 you think would be assistive in understanding 23 how the Reactor software works? (IP) No, and the only thing I would just quickly 24 Α

add on top of what Jesse said is exactly right

25

1	in that, you know, this information is on the
2	public blockchain, but you can't see which
3	entity or service are identified to that. So
4	you can go on the blockchain and you can look at
5	all these transactions respectively, but you
6	wouldn't know what services they're coming to or
7	from directly or indirectly. And so that is
8	really the important component here and what is
9	really valuable in what we do. But if it's okay
10	now would you like me to stop sharing my screen?
11	MS. ROSE: Yes. Subject to any questions the
12	Commissioner may have those are my questions
13	with respect to the Reactor software.
14	THE WITNESS: (IP) Sure.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
16	MS. ROSE: I wonder if now might be an appropriate
17	time to take a quick break, Mr. Commissioner.
18	THE COMMISSIONER: Very well, ten minutes.
19	MS. ROSE: That would be great. Thank you very much.
20	THE REGISTRAR: This hearing is adjourned for a
21	ten-minute recess until 10:41 a.m. Please mute
22	your mic and turn off your video. Thank you.
23	(WITNESSES STOOD DOWN)
24	(PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 10:31 A.M.)

(PROCEEDINGS RECONVENED AT 10:40 A.M.)

1	JESSE SPIRO, a witness
2	for the commission,
3	recalled.
4	IAN PLACE, a witness
5	for the commission,
6	recalled.
7	THE REGISTRAR: Thank you for waiting. The hearing
8	is now resumed. Mr. Commissioner.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, thank you, Madam Registrar.
10	Yes, Ms. Rose.
11	MS. ROSE: Yes, thank you, Mr. Commissioner. I
12	understand that we may have one or two
13	clarifying questions from counsel for the
14	participants for Mr. Place and so while we had
15	previously advised that perhaps he might be able
16	to be released, unfortunately I don't think
17	that's the case and I would ask that he remain
18	until the end of the hearings today for that
19	purpose. But I would suggest that he can take a
20	back seat for right now as I anticipate the rest
21	of my questions will be directed to Mr. Spiro.
22	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you.
23	EXAMINATION BY MS. ROSE (continuing):
24	Q So, Mr. Spiro, could you speak to some of the
25	barriers to conducting effective tracing that

we've not already discussed? 1 So as criminals have become more familiar 2 Α Yes. with the concept of blockchain forensics and the 3 fact that tracing can occur, they have employed 4 new methods to attempt to obfuscate the flow of 5 funds in relation to their illicit transactions. 6 7 That includes use of things like what's known in the cryptocurrency space as peel chains wherein 8 a number of transactions are executed and then 9 10 consolidated, as we spoke about briefly in that 11 demo. That is potentially complex but can be 12 followed. You also have a concept that is known 13 as chain hopping which is more difficult to follow wherein individuals or bad actors will go 14 15 from one cryptocurrency to another in the hope of obfuscating the capability and ability to 16 follow the funds. You also have the use of what 17 18 is known as mixers or tumblers, which I believe 19 we will discuss later, which are potentially services wherein for a fee an individual 20 2.1 provides cryptocurrency. It is tumbled up and 22 mixed with a lot of other cryptocurrency, and 23 then the return they receive is not connected to that address that initially they provided. And 2.4 25 lastly you have the use of what is known

1		anonymity enhancing cryptocurrencies, also known
2		as privacy coin, which provide enhanced
3		encryption and privacy features to potentially
4		obfuscate the ability to trace them.
5	Q	And I will turn to some of the specifics of
6		that, but for now I'd like to just speak a
7		little bit to the characteristics of virtual
8		assets. So, Mr. Spiro, can you advise whether
9		bitcoin is still the most popular coin and, if
LO		so, do you have any views on why that would be?
11	А	Yes. So what we've seen is that bitcoin is
L2		still by far the most popular cryptocurrency
L3		that is currently used within the ecosystem.
L4		The main reason for that, honestly, is
L5		accessibility. Bitcoin was the first. It is
L6		the most widely featured and accepted and
L7		exchanged from the global entities within the
L8		ecosystem. It is also the one that I think
L9		globally there is the most familiarity with.
20		And when it comes to accessibility that's
21		extremely important because it means that while
22		the savvy users can obviously access it, it also
23		means those that are being introduced or are
24		early on in relation to their engagement with
25		the virtual asset ecosystem can also obtain

1		bitcoin most easily and successfully.
2	Q	We heard a little about stable coins yesterday.
3		Could you describe what stable coins are and
4		provide an example.
5	A	Yes, so stable coins are essentially backed by
6		something else. There's four kinds of stable
7		coins primarily. You have stable coins that are
8		backed directly by fiat currency. You have
9		stable coins that are backed by commodities,
10		something like gold, for example. You have
11		stable coins that are backed by other
12		cryptocurrency and then you have stable coins
13		that are backed by algorithms. The most
14		prominent stable coins that you will find are
15		those that are backed by fiat currency. A good
16		example and the most widely used would be
17		Tether, which is backed by the US dollar. And
18		so that is a good example. They provide more
19		security and are less vulnerable in relation to
20		fluctuation because obviously they are backed,
21		which is why they are called stable coins.
22	Q	And we heard a little evidence yesterday about
23		the different types of virtual currency coins
24		and the difference between an open ledger and a

closed ledger. Could you tell the Commissioner

about what Chainalysis is seeing as risks of a closed ledger or privacy coin? 2 Well, in relation to close ledgers, I mean what 3 I can say is that public blockchains are what we 4 follow, right, so there is a number of different 5 coins, if you will, that we're able to follow. 6 But for those closed blockchains you're not 7 going to have a visibility into them. So that 8 9 is not the kind of thing that we would provide 10 services in relation to if somebody were trying 11 to follow that. You have hybrid blockchains, 12 some of them that are developing that we could 13 potentially follow and trace and that we could do, but you have the public and the non-public 14 which is the best distinction to make there. 15 In relation to privacy coins you have a 16 17 number of different kinds of privacy coins that 18 have different kinds of anonymity enhancing features. Some of them used advanced encryption 19 20 things like zk-SNARKS, also known as Zero 21 Knowledge Proofs, which are just a kind of 22 extreme encryption technology. You have also a 23 privacy coins that have features that allow essentially for the privacy to be turned off on 24 25 or to be turned off. This is known as being

1		shielded versus unshielded. There's a number of
2		different privacy coins, as I mentioned. Some
3		of the more popular would be Monero, Zcash or
4		Dash for example.
5	Q	And what are the advantages in your view of
6		privacy coins? Or why might a user why might
7		a user seek out a privacy coin?
8	А	You mean from a licit perspective or an illicit
9		perspective?
10	Q	If there's a discrepancy between the two,
11		perhaps you could provide your insights on to
12		both. Why might a licit user and why might an
13		illicit user turn to a privacy coin? In your
14		view, of course.
15	А	So a licit user might turn to a privacy coin if
16		they have extreme concerns around their data
17		privacy and data protection, for example. If
18		they don't want any visibility into the activity
19		that they are executing. There is an ethos
20		historically associated with virtual assets
21		where you do have kind of this cyberpunk
22		mentality from some folks where they just want
23		extreme control and privacy around access. I
24		think that's changed markedly from inception,
25		but it is something that does still exist in

1		some places. You also could potentially have
2		the use by individuals that are in certain
3		jurisdictions with authoritarian regimes or, you
4		know, potentially onerous oversight. So I could
5		see that hypothetically. For bad actors they
6		are extremely attractive because again it
7		potentially allows them to move funds across
8		border or domestically and try to avoid
9		detection by law enforcement, by government, by
10		regulators, by those that would be trying to
11		follow the money, as well as the private sector.
12	Q	Are there could you speak to some particular
13		use cases where someone might legitimately be
14		using privacy coins for the purposes you
15		mentioned?
16	A	Can I speak to a legitimate use case. I mean,
17		yes, you know, again, I suppose somebody is
18		concerned with, you know, government oversight,
19		maybe a government has extreme views or policy
20		in place, maybe they are very worried about
21		capital flight. For example, maybe they are
22		putting extreme controls over their citizens and
23		populations. You know, maybe as a result of
24		those things and other kinds of conflicts, you
25		know, maybe their fiat currency is facing

extreme devaluation and problems and so they are 1 looking to obtain a different kind of asset to 2 3 protect their wealth. Hypothetically that is a 4 potential use case, I quess. And building on that we've heard virtual 5 0 currencies' role as both a currency as well as 6 an investment. Could you elaborate on the price 7 volatility of coins like bitcoin and the way in 8 which virtual assets are used as an investment 9 10 rather than a currency? 11 Α Yeah, I mean there is a substantial volatility 12 that is still associated with many of the 13 virtual assets that exist. You know, some of their value initially was derived from the 14 15 technology behind them and is still derived from the technology and the potential use cases that 16 17 can be applied with those technologies, like 18 Ethereum and what is known as the ERC-20. But 19 as an investment what we've seen is by and large 20 in relation to growth and valuation that has 2.1 been pegged to virtual assets being used for 22 investment purposes versus being used for actual 23 procurement and purchasing and, you know, kind of the traditional uses of fiat currency in many 2.4 forms or fashion. As a result of that there 25

1		have been over a number of years relatively
2		extreme swings in relation to the pricing that
3		is associated with different virtual assets.
4	Q	Are you able to comment on whether one or the
5		other is more predominant in terms of using
6		virtual currencies, is it more frequently used
7		as an asset or is it more frequently used as a
8		currency for procurement? Is that something
9		that you can comment on?
10	Α	I think based on our market research I think I
11		would be comfortable saying it's more frequently
12		used as an asset.
13	Q	Can you speak to challenges in converting a
14		large amount of bitcoin into fiat currency or
15		another virtual currency?
16	А	Yes, so it is not as easy as some might think in
17		relation to their ability to conduct such a
18		conversion. Number one, to conduct conversion
19		from fiat into virtual assets or virtual assets
20		into fiat you're probably going to need to use
21		an exchange that provides those kinds of
22		services and the exchange is going to have to
23		have access to banking to do so. So there is
24		that potential issue. When it comes to large
25		volumes because of the potential impact in the

1		movement of large volumes on cryptocurrency in
2		relation to the liquidity in the market and
3		pricing, right, there are usually limits that
4		are set in relation to how much cryptocurrency
5		could be converted at any given time or
6		transferred at any given time. So there are
7		those I would say potential issues in relation
8		to something at scale.
9	Q	And in terms of tracing what are the advantages
10		of coins that operate on a blockchain compared
11		to fiat currency?
12	А	So one of the things that attracted me most to
13		the virtual asset space when it comes to
14		anti-money laundering is the transparency and
15		the visibility, the traceability and the
16		providence that it provides is really
17		unprecedented. I liken it to the following
18		analogy. If you were to take a dollar into a
19		convenience store and to purchase something,
20		it's going to be very extremely difficult for
21		you to follow every cent associated with that
22		dollar from inception to wherever it goes;
23		right? But in relation to the transaction
24		history as it pertains to virtual assets into
25		the blockchain, you can follow every single

piece consistently, and because of that 1 providence and permanence, you know, it can't 2 be -- you know, fraud can't occur in relation to 3 The blockchain is secure in that sense. 4 it. 5 Q Yesterday we heard Sergeant Vickery of the RCMP describe the on-ramp and off-ramp of virtual 6 assets in reference to the point of conversion 7 from fiat to virtual asset in exchanges or 8 9 virtual currency kiosks. Do you agree with her 10 characterization of these being on-ramps and 11 off-ramps? 12 I think it a good characterization. I think Α 13 when it comes to the way in which you would 14 investigate this and the ecosystem, you can 15 think of the on-ramps and off-ramps being these exchanges how somebody can enter and exit the 16 17 cryptocurrency ecosystem as the choke points. 18 So where they provide those kind of conversion services, think of them as the choke points 19 20 because those kinds of entities that provide it 2.1 should be regulated, should have substantial 22 robust know your customer programs in place for 23 individuals to be able to access them. And so 24 from an investigative perspective the reason I 25 call it the choke point is because if you follow

1		the flow of funds using something like
2		Chainalysis and you're able to follow the
3		illicit money to an exchange, what law
4		enforcement should then be able to do is to
5		issue a subpoena to identify the KYC behind that
6		user and to bring about successful prosecution.
7	Q	And why do you say that this is the choke point
8		and what role does regulation have at that choke
9		point in your view?
10	А	Regulation is essential because if there is not
11		regulation in place on those choke points on
12		those exchanges, the on-ramps and off-ramps,
13		then it potentially means that they do not have
14		effective compliance programs in place because
15		they are not required to have them; right? It
16		means potentially they are not conducting KYC on
17		their users, they do not have transaction
18		monitoring, policies or procedures, training
19		et cetera; right? It means that if the bad
20		actors attempt to utilize them they probably
21		will be able to do so successfully. And
22		following the money when it comes to
23		cryptocurrency we are able to follow it within
24		the ecosystem. If a person then converts into
25		fiat currency, for lack of a better terminology

1		they are then in the wind. We don't have those.
2		Law enforcement may in fact be able to then
3		follow it from there, but for us that is not
4		something that we do.
5	Q	And from the work that Chainalysis does, are you
6		able to speak to the role specifically of kiosks
7		in this conversion point and what the risks
8		might be there?
9	А	Yes. So when speaking about kiosks, which are
10		also known as ATMs, they are not all equal, and
11		when I say that what I mean is some do have
12		robust compliance around requiring KYC and
13		specific documentation to access them whereas
14		others do not. Bad actors would obviously seek
15		out that do not have those kinds of
16		requirements, for example.
17		And one of the things that is associated
18		with these kiosks traditionally is higher fees
19		than the fees that are associated with more
20		prominent exchanges, for example, those that are
21		probably regulated. So in relation to the use
22		cases for somebody there are some I would say
23		potentially use cases where somebody may just
24		want immediate need, immediate access to
25		cryptocurrency where they would be willing to

1		pay that significantly higher fee, but it also
2		is potentially indicative of bad actors who are
3		paying the fee and in exchange not having to
4		provide that PII and identify themselves which
5		could potentially lead to successful prosecution
6		and law enforcement action.
7	Q	And PII, what does that refer to?
8	А	Personally identifying information. So usually
9		in association with your access to the global
10		financial system, to a financial institution, to
11		a cryptocurrency exchange, you are going to have
12		to confirm that you are who you say you are.
13		That be it with your passport or your national
14		ID or your driver's licence, usually multiple
15		forms of identification, and usually you have to
16		provide that.
17	Q	Similar to the know your client or know your
18		customer obligations data collected?
19	A	Correct.
20	Q	In terms of other risks some have said that
21		cross border virtual asset transactions might
22		pose a risk. Do you have any views on the risks
23		of any cross border virtual asset transactions?
24	А	I mean, I think that the digital payment
25		ecosystem, you know, is just radically different

to what you've seen in traditional financial 1 service. Again, when we talk about frictionless 2 3 payments and accessibility it is just very different technology, and with that technology 4 that are substantial benefits. More financial 5 inclusion, again accessibility. The list can go 6 7 on and on in relation to the benefits. are always these potential inherent risks that 8 could exist as well in relation to risks around 9 10 cross border payments. So, you know, it is 11 something to be considered. But I don't think 12 it benefits the technology, the growth or the 13 potential use case to attempt to really silo that kind of activity. I think it's important 14 15 to have universal umbrella fairly cohesive regulation, in turn which will provide more 16 17 financial integrity to the space and eliminate 18 some of those concerns. Your work with Chainalysis, the PII or the KYC 19 20 that is currently being collected by exchanges 2.1 or by kiosks or other actors, the substance of 22 that data, is it sufficient? 23 So we don't touch KYC. What I can say is, you know, so our visibility would be limited to some 24 25 extent. We are familiar with what the practices

1		are employed by some of the exchanges. We are
2		just familiar with what their requirements are
3		in relation to KYC or are not. They certainly
4		are not universal. In some jurisdictions they
5		are far more stringent. In other jurisdictions
6		they are far more lax. When it comes to
7		regulation I would say that that is probably the
8		most important component that I see to
9		effectively regulating and mitigating illicit
10		activity in the space, and in general as it
11		pertains to digital payment, you know, I think
12		that that particular component of verifying that
13		individuals are who they say they are and
14		obtaining that information is extremely
15		important.
16	Q	So you mentioned a discrepancy between
17		regulation in different jurisdictions. Could
18		you roughly describe the trajectory of
19		regulation of virtual asset service providers
20		from 2013 to date?
21	А	Yes. So in 2013 FinCEN was one of the first to
22		regulate the virtual asset space and they
23		applied the Bank Secrecy Act to virtual asset
24		service providers by defining them as money
25		service businesses. The Bank Secrecy Act is the

1	AML CFT laws within the US that pertain to
2	operation for a number of different kinds of
3	financial institutions, including financial
4	institutions and money service businesses. We
5	saw bits and pieces globally from some other
6	regulators. A lot of it was just in relation to
7	guidance or some potential advisories that came
8	out, and then since 2019 we've seen a rather
9	rapid flurry of activity. That was driven by
10	and large by the Financial Action Task Force
11	when they released their virtual asset
12	recommendations. The Financial Action Task
13	Force, also known as FATF, is an [indiscernible]
14	body that has a mandate of building out capacity
15	for AML CFT within the regulatory environment
16	globally. And they do so by releasing
17	recommendations and after releasing
18	recommendations they conduct mutual evaluations
19	where they evaluate the countries that fall
20	under this umbrella that are over 200 in total.
21	You have 39 core members of the Financial Action
22	Task Force and then you have what is known as
23	FSRBs, FATF style regional bodies. In total you
24	have over 200 jurisdictions that fall under that
25	umbrella. And so the mutual evaluations measure

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

how well and how effective the jurisdiction has 1 aligned or implemented those recommendations 2 3 that have come out. There are no regulatory 4 requirements connected to the Financial Action Task Force, but the results of a poor mutual 5 evaluation are substantial on a jurisdiction. 6 It potentially impacts their access to the 7 global financial system, their credit rating, 8 their ability to obtain lending. There's 9 10 substantial impact if they receive a poor mutual 11 evaluation and that aligns with them potentially 12 being blacklisted what is known a blacklisted by 13 FATF, which is a very small list, or being greylisted, which is a slightly larger list in 14 relation to the jurisdictions that fall under. 15 So FATF released those virtual asset 16 recommendations in June of 2019 and since then 17

So FATF released those virtual asset recommendations in June of 2019 and since then as kind of a benchmark you've seen jurisdictions begin to align with those recommendations, begin to introduce regulation around the virtual asset space. It hasn't been a domino effect, I would say, but it is something where weave seen some progress occur between 2019 to 2020. There was a year review of the progress as a result of the recommendations being released that happened

Jesse Spiro (for the commission)
Ian Place (for the commission)
Exam by Ms. Rose

1		this past summer and they have extended the
2		review an additional year. So I expect to see
3		more regulation being adopted and released in
4		the coming year.
5	Q	And you mentioned process in the summer with
6		FATF. Are you familiar with the FATF virtual
7		assets red flag indicators that were released in
8		September of this year?
9	A	I am. Yes.
10	MS.	ROSE: Madam Registrar, could I have that
11		document on the screen. It's indexed as
12		appendix C virtual asset spread flag indicators.
13	Q	Mr. Spiro, do you recognize this document as
14		being the FATF report on red flag indicators?
15	A	I do.
16	Q	And your understanding is that this document was
17		prepared by the Financial Action Task Force?
18	A	That is correct.
19	Q	Were you at all involved in consulting or
20		authoring this report?
21	A	I was familiar with it. I was familiar with the
22		report. It certainly aligns with red flags and
23		typologies that we have spoken about previously.
24	Q	But you were not involved with the actual

authoring of this report; is that fair?

Jesse Spiro (for the commission) Ian Place (for the commission) Exam by Ms. Rose

1	A	I was not the author, no.
2	MS. F	ROSE: Okay. Mr. Commissioner, I'd ask that we
3		have this marked as the next exhibit.
4	THE (COMMISSIONER: All right. I think we are at 259,
5		Madam Registrar.
6	THE F	REGISTRAR: Yes, exhibit 259.
7		EXHIBIT 259: FATF Report - Virtual Assets Red
8		Flag Indicators - September 2020
9	THE (COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
10	MS. I	ROSE: Thank you.
11	Q	In your view sorry, Madam Registrar, we don't
12		need to have the document on the screen any
13		longer. Thank you.
14		Mr. Spiro, in your view are these indicators
15		useful?
16	А	Yes. I would say these indicators are extremely
17		useful. They were extremely prescriptive, well
18		thought out. I think they will be extremely and
19		are extremely useful for both regulators, for
20		law enforcement and for the private sector as
21		well.
22	Q	And we can take it back on the screen if you
23		like, but there's a comment at page 6 about
24		suggesting that the virtual asset exchanges
25		determine if an address has been identified as

Jesse Spiro (for the commission)
Ian Place (for the commission)
Exam by Ms. Rose

1	holding stolen funds or linked to that address.
2	Are you familiar with that requirement?
3	A Yes.
4	MS. ROSE: Sorry, I'm just receiving a message from
5	one of our witnesses. I wonder,
6	Mr. Commissioner, could we take a five-minute
7	break or three-minute break even?
8	THE COMMISSIONER: We'll take five minutes, thank
9	you.
10	THE REGISTRAR: This hearing is stood down for
11	five minutes until 11:14 a.m. Please mute your
12	mic and turn off your video. Thank you.
13	(WITNESSES STOOD DOWN)
14	(PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 11:09 A.M.)
15	(PROCEEDINGS RECONVENED AT 11:15 A.M.)
16	THE REGISTRAR: This hearing is now resumed.
17	Mr. Commissioner.
18	JESSE SPIRO, a witness
19	for the commission,
20	recalled.
21	MS. ROSE: My apologies for that impromptu break,
22	Mr. Commissioner. We are dealing with some
23	technical issues. I'll resume my examination of
24	Mr. Spiro now.

1 EXAMINATION BY MS. ROSE (continuing):

2 Q We had just been speaking about the FATF 3 indicators, I believe, and I wanted to ask you, 4 Mr. Spiro, from your experience in working with Chainalysis and tracing virtual asset 5 transactions what in your view do virtual asset 6 service providers and law enforcement need to 7 know about identifying suspicious transactions 8 beyond what is stated in the FATF virtual assets 9 10 document? Well, I think in relation to the document it 11 Α 12 defines potential indicators. Sometimes you 13 will have some of those potential indicators 14 used in conjunction; right? And potentially 15 those indicators themselves are not indicative of illicit activity, like the use of VPN by 16 itself. I think that also in relation to some 17 18 of the kinds of potential activity that you 19 would see in relation to different kinds of 20 transaction patterns, for example, while it's 21 referenced it may not have been explicitly 22 defined there in some instances. There are other kinds of illicit activity that have not 23 2.4 been flagged here, things like extraction

attacks which are extremely technical that are

1		indicative of illicit activity as well. But
2		again, I think it was a very measured approach.
3		I think there are a lot of good indicators
4		there. I think they elicited a lot of feedback
5		in relation to the production from many
6		different jurisdictions and I think by and large
7		it's an extremely valuable resource.
8	Q	Given your work in certain intergovernmental
9		groups I take it that you are familiar with the
10		some of the regulation of virtual asset service
11		providers in Canada?
12	A	That is correct.
13	Q	And could you comment on what you see as being
14		the major gaps, if any, in the Canadian and the
15		British Columbia regulation of virtual assets?
16	А	I think as a whole, to be fair and transparent
17		I'm not as familiar with British Columbian
18		regulations itself. In relation to Canadian
19		regulation and PCMLTFA, I believe it is, any
20		implementation application, I should say, to
21		virtual assets, I think that that is
22		encouraging. I think the fact that it aligns
23		closely with the Financial Action Task Force
24		recommendations is also encouraging in relation
25		to recordkeeping, in relation to the kind of

core compliance essentials, you know, having a 1 compliance officer, policies and procedures, 2 3 training, et cetera. You know, suspicious transaction reporting, all of that kind of 4 activity. So I think that that is a valuable 5 step in the right direction. I will say that 6 one of the potential issues, and this isn't 7 specific just to Canada but many jurisdictions 8 9 around the globe, is while they are implementing 10 this regulation, defining supervision and having 11 the resources applied to supervision to 12 examination are all things that I think are 13 developing and potentially in flux, and that is potentially the case in Canada as well. You 14 15 know, I think by and large sans a couple of jurisdictions around the world, this is still a 16 17 developing place, and I think that that critical 18 component in relation to the actual supervision, having that in place so there is a formal 19 20 oversight not just the requirements that are 21 applied, not just registration and having the 22 program in place but actually utilizing it 23 effectively, and I'm talking about the private sector right now, requires that oversight from 24 25 the public sector to do so.

1 And first I must commend your mastery of the Q 2 acronym of the Canadian legislation, the 3 PCMLTFA. You are referring to the Proceeds of 4 Crime (Money Laundering) and Terrorist Financing Act I believe? 5 Correct. 6 Α 7 And do you have any views, you know, from your experience do you have any views on how the 8 9 amendment in the PCMLTFA could be improved in 10 future? You know, I hark to generally one of the things 11 Α 12 that I like to fixate on, and again, I'm talking 13 more been global regulation but it could be 14 applied here, is what we have seen is the 15 extreme inherent value to what can be done in 16 relation to transaction monitoring. So applying 17 more requirements and specific focus around how that should be conducted and should be done I 18 19 think is important because it is unique in the 20 virtual asset space as it pertains to what you 21 can see, what you can arrest, you know, the 22 velocity in which you can do that. In virtual 23 assets it can be a proactive response and in 2.4 traditional financial services it is usually

reactive. What I mean by is that an illicit

1		activity will occur successfully; it will be
2		identified after the fact; a red flag, a
3		typology will be identified and they try to
4		arrest it again in the future potentially. But
5		here because you have this capability because
6		you can monitor in realtime and screen
7		transactions in realtime and identify associated
8		risk, I think putting more requirements around
9		that specifically would be extremely beneficial.
10	Q	You mentioned earlier in your testimony that
11		there are discrepancies in regulation by
12		different jurisdictions around the world. Why
13		does that matter in your view?
14	А	So if you have discrepancies, and you always
15		have slight nuanced discrepancies, so that's
16		important to note, because based on local laws
17		and different potential requirements that they
18		have it's unlikely that you will have directly
19		aligned regulation AML CFT regulations, so there
20		might be slightly nuanced differences. But
21		those for do that apply it at all, have not
22		applied it at all, what you then develop
23		potentially are instances of regulatory
24		arbitrage, right, because if regulation does not
25		exist in a jurisdiction it means that the

Q

1		entities operating in that jurisdiction are not
2		subject to it. It means that they can have more
3		lax compliance or non-existent compliance and
4		what that potentially means is that all of the
5		illicit activity will seek out and go to those
6		places where they can function and execute their
7		transactions successfully.
8	Q	Are you and by "you" I mean you and
9		Chainalysis are you seeing the impact of
10		this? Are you seeing this happen?
11	A	What I would say is there been a relative
12		constant in relation to where we've seen a lot
13		of the illicit activity going, but those
14		jurisdictions where we have seen that happening
15		do have more lax regulation, low to no
16		enforcement, so it does align in that sense and
17		that has been relatively constant for a long
18		time. If you go back and look at more legacy
19		information I think you have seen the shift, I
20		certainly think you saw a lot more illicit
21		activity going through the US than you do in the
22		last number of years as FinCEN, for example, has
23		really ramped up their programs. But a lot of
24		it is still going through certain regions.

And could I ask you to be a little specific on

1		what you are seeing that leads you to the
2		conclusion. Is it that more transactions that
3		have connections to darknet, you know, are
4		originating or ending in a particular
5		jurisdiction that is known to have lax
6		regulation, or can you just describe for me what
7		exactly you are seeing in that regard?
8	A	We are actually seeing the majority of all
9		illicit activity, be it connected to ransomware,
10		to scams, to fraud, to darknet markets. We are
11		seeing the majority of it going to a specific
12		region, and a lot of that is going through two
13		very large exchanges themselves that are subject
14		to where they directly exist less regulation and
15		regulatory oversight.
16	Q	And are you able to provide the names of those
17		exchanges?
18	A	I believe it's referenced in the cryptocrime
19		report, so I would be more comfortable referring
20		to that document.
21	Q	Sure. Just excuse me for a moment while I just
22		find the reference.
23	MS.	ROSE: Madam Registrar, if we could pull up
24		appendix A which I believe is exhibit 257. If

we could have that on the screen. And, Madam

1		Registrar, if wouldn't mind turning to page 9 of
2		that PDF document. Thank you.
3	Q	So, Mr. Spiro, does this document assist you in
4		providing the answer to my question?
5	А	Yes. I believe the this document says that over
6		50 percent went to two exchanges in relation to
7		illicit bitcoin between 2016 and 2019, which
8		were Binance and Huobi.
9	Q	And that statement is listed underneath this
10		colourful graph; is that right?
11	A	Yes, that's correct.
12	Q	And what would you suggest or what would you see
13		as being necessary on the basis of the work that
14		Chainalysis is doing for improvements in future
15		regulation in terms of where the market is
16		headed? Do you have any recommendations in that
17		regard?
18	A	You know, I think regulation is meant to be
19		flexible. I think one of the concerns that I
20		think I share with many others is this is a
21		technology that is advancing so rapidly that the
22		question can be posed will it keep up. Will
23		regulation be able to keep up with the
24		technology as it advances. So I think a
25		vigilance in relation to monitoring different

1		kinds of activity is certainly something that is
2		important, and from a regulatory perspective I
3		think that means providing regular guidance and
4		advisories and updates as different kinds of
5		activity are identified and potential regulatory
6		change if required.
7	MS.	ROSE: Madam Registrar, could we turn to page 81
8		of this document.
9	Q	Mr. Spiro, would you are there any regulatory
10		changes that you see might be appropriate in the
11		future in response to these four developments on
12		where the virtual asset market is going?
13	А	I mean, I do see potential changes as it
14		pertains to privacy coins and that is something
15		that was cited by FATF. I think that as with
16		any other instance as it pertains to anonymity
17		and privacy, I think that if law enforcement and
18		governments and regulators can't follow illicit
19		activity successfully then those kinds of
20		entities or services or whatever it might be
21		cannot continue to exist because the potential
22		impact that they have on financial integrity, on
23		things like global terrorism and child
24		exploitation and all kinds of illicit activity,
25		because at the end of the day there's such an

1		interconnectivity with money; right? And so you
2		know, for those kinds of privacy coins that
3		provide full anonymity and are more difficult to
4		follow, track and trace, there will be
5		potentially I think be more regulation that we
6		see adapted by jurisdictions which will point to
7		the fact that if exchanges, if those that are
8		regulated cannot control, cannot identify and
9		cannot follow the flow of funds associated with
10		those kinds of coins, that they then in turn
11		probably will not be able to support them on
12		their platforms and be regulatory compliant.
13	Q	So I'd like to turn now to the topic of OTC
14		brokers. Perhaps, Madam Registrar, could you
15		turn to page 13 of this report. And I'll ask
16		you a couple of general questions, Mr. Spiro,
17		before turning to the specifics of the report.
18		Could you describe for us the function of an OTC
19		broker.
20	А	Yes. So OTC brokers play a very significant and
21		important part in the cryptocurrency ecosystem.
22		You know, essentially they support liquidity and
23		stability and they provide an avenue for
24		individuals that are looking to buy and sell
25		large amounts of cryptocurrency. They also

1		provide an additional layer of privacy in
2		relation to those transactions, which those
3		investors that are, you know, eliciting large
4		volumes they may desire. So they are an
5		important part of the ecosystem and essentially
6		for a fixed price they allow individuals, the
7		broker, supports individuals buying and selling
8		large amounts of cryptocurrencies. Some of
9		these OTCs are what is known as nested. It's a
10		similar concept to nested banking wherein they
11		provide the service and then the broker
12		themselves has access and an account at a larger
13		entity like an exchange.
14	Q	If a user on a particular exchange were to
15		attempt to move a large volume of let's say
16		bitcoin without using an OTC broker what would
17		happen?
18	А	So usually as I mentioned before they are going
19		to be transaction limits; right? Potentially
20		that is problematic for them because say there
21		is a transaction limit they can only move a
22		certain amount. Based on what we mentioned
23		previously about the volatility and pricing they
24		may not be able to move that amount with that
25		fixed price that they had associated or wanted

1		to use. Also that kind of movement, you know,
2		OTC transactions are seen as kind of off market.
3		That kind of movement directly from an exchange
4		because of the transparency, the blockchain
5		potentially has an impact on the market also,
6		right, because if people see this kind of
7		massive movement of funds it potentially
8		triggers based on the volatility, you know,
9		rapid change in pricing and, you know, the
10		associated
11	Q	Right. Would it be fair to make an analogy to
12		something on the stockmarket, for example if
13		someone were to purchase a very large amount of
14		a particular stock that that might impact the
15		overall price of the shares that were
16		transferred later on?
17	А	Yes. I think that's fair.
18	Q	And you mentioned there is an increased privacy
19		associated with OTC brokers. Can you describe
20		how that is the case and what role of collecting
21		KYC information OTC brokers have?
22	А	Yes. So on a base level because it's offmarket,
23		right, potentially there is more privacy because
24		it's not connected to directly to individuals on
25		the exchanges in relation to that transaction.

1		But in relation to KYC I think KYC collection
2		and compliance generally that's exercised by OTC
3		brokers varies very widely. You have some that
4		are extremely compliant that have good
5		compliance programs, like Circle, like
6		Cumberland Mining, for example. Those are two
7		good examples. But you are others that utilize
8		and execute very low levels of compliance and
9		may not require any KYC in relation to executing
10		transactions.
11	Q	So in referring to this report here we are at
12		page 13 of exhibit 257, which is the
13		Chainalysis sorry. No, still at 13. Sorry,
14		Madam Registrar. So this is the Chainalysis
15		cryptocrime report and we are at page 13. This
16		page describes what Chainalysis is referring to
17		as a set of OTC brokers as the Rogue 100. Are
18		you familiar this characterization, Mr. Spiro?
19	A	I am.
20	Q	Can you explain at a high level how Chainalysis
21		would determine that an OTC broker is likely
22		involved in money laundering?
23	A	So we follow the money, right, so it's fairly
24		easy, basically by the flow of funds. If we see
25		substantial illicit financial proceeds going

1		through certain kinds of accounts and based on
2		the kinds of transaction history that we are
3		able to see, we are able to reasonably ascertain
4		the fact that these individuals are actively
5		egregiously, you know, participating in
6		supporting money laundering by way of engaging
7		directly with those that are involved in illicit
8		activity.
9	Q	I got a lit bit ahead of myself. Can you just
10		tell us what is the rogue 100?
11	А	It is 100 OTC brokers that we've identified that
12		have engaged in substantial volumes of with
13		illicit cryptocurrency and illicit proceeds and
14		have processed transactions and trades with bad
15		actors and wallets that are associated with
16		large volumes of illicit proceeds.
17	Q	From your experience with Chainalysis are you
18		able to speak to what features of OTC brokers,
19		and particularly these Rogue 100, might be
20		attractive to a potential money launderer?
21	А	There are a few. I mean, when you say when
22		you say attractive to a money launderer do you
23		mean for bad actors to seek out specific kinds
24		of OTCs or what features in an OTC might be
25		attractive to a money launderer generally?

Perhaps I'll ask a couple of other questions as 1 0 2 sort of background. Is it your understanding 3 from this report and perhaps other sources that 4 OTC brokers are disproportionately favoured by potential bad actors including money launderers? 5 Yes, I'd say that's accurate. 6 Α And can you comment on why that's the case? I think that these OTCs in particular are --8 Α have either solicited business in a way that 9 10 encourages their acceptance of illicit activity or they have been identified because of 11 12 particular features or attributes that would 13 make them attractive, meaning that they do not 14 conduct KYC, they do have compliance. They are 15 nested within many instances exchanges that also 16 have very low compliance threshold or did have 17 at the time of publish here low thresholds and 18 compliance procedures. I should add that as a 19 caveat this was from 2019, so there is the 20 potential that some of these things have been 21 improved by these entities and others. But if 22 they don't have those kinds of requirements and 23 if they provide access to fiat by way of the 2.4 nesting service, and if the exchanges that they 25 are using, right, are not conducting customer

1		due diligence on their customers, right, so on
2		the OTCs that they are nesting, then it's
3		accessible and it's very attractive to them.
4	Q	So in your view it would be fair to characterize
5		the absence of direct regulatory oversight of
6		these OTC brokers or KYC requirements directly
7		on the OTC brokers as being a gap in regulation?
8	А	I would say that it could potentially be defined
9		as a gap. I mean, I would say that, as I
10		mentioned, there's many OTC brokers out there
11		and there are many compliant OTC brokers and
12		they play an extremely important part within the
13		ecosystem. So they are very important. They
14		are very valuable, as I mentioned. I would more
15		say that these kinds of requirements might be
16		better suited or targeted in certain
17		jurisdictions.
18	Q	And what level of insight would an exchange have
19		into the activity of an OTC broker both in
20		reference to a nested OTC broker and a
21		non-nested OTC broker?
22	А	It probably varies. There's a number of
23		different factors that would potentially impact
24		the kind of visibility that they would have. We
25		are able to identify OTC brokers in many

1		instances so they would be able to potentially
2		validate if they were using the service like
3		ours. They also might be able to validate it if
4		they were doing appropriate customer due
5		diligence. The thing about these OTCs is
6		usually we are talking about significant
7		volumes, you know, so based on customer due
8		diligence, source of wealth checks, they should
9		be able to identify what business these
10		individuals are in. So there's a number of
11		different potential ways where they would be
12		able to have visibility.
13	Q	And are there any particular measures that you
14		are aware of that could be used to improve
15		visibility of these OTC brokers?
16	А	I mean, I honestly think that we have fairly
17		good visibility into, as I mentioned, you know,
18		the on-chain activity, the kinds of activity
19		that is occurring, the illicit financial flows
20		that are associated with some. I think the
21		visibility does exist, so I don't think it
22		necessarily needs to be improved. I do think
23		the onus in part falls on additionally the
24		exchanges that are connected to these OTC
25		brokers. Because if the exchanges are compliant

1		and are trying to do compliance successfully
2		they will say to the OTCs if the OTCs try and
3		bring them a significant volume of crypto that
4		is tainted cryptocurrency that they will bar
5		
		them from their platform, for example. That
6		would be a motivator for the OTCs to act
7		accordingly.
8	Q	So is it necessary in your view for a regulator
9		to require KYC directly from the OTC, or is it
10		sufficient as you've described that the exchange
11		which already has in many jurisdictions KYC
12		requirements simply sort of pass on those
13		requirements to clients on its service,
14		including OTC brokers?
15	A	I mean, this would be my personal opinion. I'm
16		not speaking on behalf of Chainalysis when I say
17		I think KYC requirements on the OTCs is probably
18		a good idea. Just because, you know, when you
19		are talking about third party, fourth party,
20		fifth party risk that potentially becomes
21		difficult to track and to follow successfully
22		and putting those kinds of requirements on an
23		exchange seems onerous.
24	MS.	ROSE: Okay. Madam Registrar, could I turn to

page 7 of this document, please.

1	Q	So, Mr. Spiro, at number 2 of page 7 here there
2		is a statement by Chainalysis that money
3		laundering is the key to cryptocrime. Could you
4		elaborate on that.
5	A	I think when it comes to what we are
6		essentially saying is that when illicit activity
7		occurs anywhere, if the bad actors are trying to
8		move it through the cryptocurrency ecosystem,
9		money laundering is the component because we
10		talked about the choke points, right, so what
11		they are trying to do is to successfully
12		obfuscate the flow of funds, the ownership so
13		that they can either enter or exit. And so it's
14		central to the illicit activity that we see in
15		the cryptocurrency space.
16	Q	And I understand that through the work that
17		Chainalysis does evaluating trends and such,
18		would you be able to comment on what you are
19		seeing as common typologies of illegal activity
20		and money laundering happening on exchanges or
21		otherwise in the virtual asset space?
22	A	I mean, I think we have referenced a number of
23		those common typologies that we just talked
24		about. I will say that I think an interesting
25		distinction and change that we've seen this year

1		from last year is if you look at the 2020
2		cryptocrime report that we provided a lot of the
3		illicit activity in 2019 was associated with
4		scams and fraud, particularly two major scams
5		which were Plus Token and OneCoin, whereas this
6		year what we're seeing is a 600 percent increase
7		in relation to payments associated with
8		ransomware. So just a marked huge change in
9		relation to that kind of associated activity,
10		which I think tracks with probably a lot of what
11		you've seen in the press and heard in relation
12		to ransomware and how it's impacted the globe in
13		the last year. That certainly, I think, could
14		be defined as a trend. We've talked about some
15		of the specific laundering typologies already
16		and I think many of the prominent ones, but that
17		is a trend that we've seen this year that I
18		think is important to note.
19	Q	And one of those techniques for money laundering
20		that you described is using a mixer or a
21		tumbler; is that right?
22	A	That is correct.
23	Q	And in your view that continues to be a
24		predominant typology of money laundering in

connection with virtual assets?

- 1 A We do still see mixers and tumblers used, yes.
- 2 MS. ROSE: Madam Registrar, could we turn to page 21
- of this report. Just scroll down a little bit.
- 4 Q Mr. Spiro, can you describe this picture on the
- 5 screen here?
- 6 A Yes. So essentially what you have is you
- 7 have -- when we talked about tumbler at a high
- 8 level view it was my explanation where these
- 9 coins were mixed up and you returned a different
- 10 output, and this is what it actually looks like
- in practice where there are algorithms that are
- used, a myriad of transactions are conducted.
- 13 These mixing algorithms continue to mix up this
- 14 cryptocurrency and then there's consolidation
- 15 that occurs.
- 16 Q I'll attempt to do some analysis of this and I
- am hoping you can tell me whether I've correctly
- assessed it, but my understanding is that a
- 19 normal transaction you would have point A and
- 20 point B, point A being at the top left-hand
- corner of this image and point B being at the
- bottom right-hand corner of this image. And
- 23 normally you would see a pretty straight line
- 24 from A to B?
- 25 A Correct.

Q

are seeing a number of nodes that are sort of interrupting the chains. You're also seeing that the transaction has been split into a number of different lines here that then flow through these different nodes, but nonetheless eventually the funds do arrive at what's referred to as the consolidation point at the bottom right-hand corner of the screen; is that right? A That's correct. Q And this is a service that is provided by software that's called a mixer or a tumbler? A That is correct. Q And so it makes it more difficult to trace the transaction with a model like this than if it was a straight line from A to B; is that right? A That is correct. MS. ROSE: Okay. And, Madam Registrar, could we please turn to page 22. And, Madam Registrar, could we zoom in on the image here. And maybe scroll up just a little. There. That's great. Thank you very much. Maybe we could scroll up a little bit, Madam Registrar. There.	1	Q	Is that right? Yeah. And in this pattern you
that the transaction has been split into a number of different lines here that then flow through these different nodes, but nonetheless eventually the funds do arrive at what's referred to as the consolidation point at the bottom right-hand corner of the screen; is that right? A That's correct. Q And this is a service that is provided by software that's called a mixer or a tumbler? A That is correct. Q And so it makes it more difficult to trace the transaction with a model like this than if it was a straight line from A to B; is that right? A That is correct. MS. ROSE: Okay. And, Madam Registrar, could we please turn to page 22. And, Madam Registrar, could we zoom in on the image here. And maybe scroll up just a little. There. That's great. Thank you very much. Maybe we could scroll up a	2		are seeing a number of nodes that are sort of
5 number of different lines here that then flow 6 through these different nodes, but nonetheless 7 eventually the funds do arrive at what's 8 referred to as the consolidation point at the 9 bottom right-hand corner of the screen; is that 10 right? 11 A That's correct. 12 Q And this is a service that is provided by 13 software that's called a mixer or a tumbler? 14 A That is correct. 15 Q And so it makes it more difficult to trace the 16 transaction with a model like this than if it 17 was a straight line from A to B; is that right? 18 A That is correct. 19 MS. ROSE: Okay. And, Madam Registrar, could we 20 please turn to page 22. And, Madam Registrar, 21 could we zoom in on the image here. And maybe 22 scroll up just a little. There. That's great. 23 Thank you very much. Maybe we could scroll up a	3		interrupting the chains. You're also seeing
through these different nodes, but nonetheless eventually the funds do arrive at what's referred to as the consolidation point at the bottom right-hand corner of the screen; is that right? A That's correct. And this is a service that is provided by software that's called a mixer or a tumbler? A That is correct. And so it makes it more difficult to trace the transaction with a model like this than if it was a straight line from A to B; is that right? A That is correct. MS. ROSE: Okay. And, Madam Registrar, could we please turn to page 22. And, Madam Registrar, could we zoom in on the image here. And maybe scroll up just a little. There. That's great. Thank you very much. Maybe we could scroll up a	4		that the transaction has been split into a
referred to as the consolidation point at the bottom right-hand corner of the screen; is that right? A That's correct. A That's carrect. A That is correct. Thank you very much. Maybe we could scroll up a	5		number of different lines here that then flow
referred to as the consolidation point at the bottom right-hand corner of the screen; is that right? A That's correct. Q And this is a service that is provided by software that's called a mixer or a tumbler? A That is correct. Q And so it makes it more difficult to trace the transaction with a model like this than if it was a straight line from A to B; is that right? A That is correct. MS. ROSE: Okay. And, Madam Registrar, could we please turn to page 22. And, Madam Registrar, could we zoom in on the image here. And maybe scroll up just a little. There. That's great. Thank you very much. Maybe we could scroll up a	6		through these different nodes, but nonetheless
bottom right-hand corner of the screen; is that right? A That's correct. And this is a service that is provided by software that's called a mixer or a tumbler? A That is correct. A That is correct. And so it makes it more difficult to trace the transaction with a model like this than if it was a straight line from A to B; is that right? A That is correct. MS. ROSE: Okay. And, Madam Registrar, could we please turn to page 22. And, Madam Registrar, could we zoom in on the image here. And maybe scroll up just a little. There. That's great. Thank you very much. Maybe we could scroll up a	7		eventually the funds do arrive at what's
right? A That's correct. Q And this is a service that is provided by software that's called a mixer or a tumbler? A That is correct. Q And so it makes it more difficult to trace the transaction with a model like this than if it was a straight line from A to B; is that right? A That is correct. MS. ROSE: Okay. And, Madam Registrar, could we please turn to page 22. And, Madam Registrar, could we zoom in on the image here. And maybe scroll up just a little. There. That's great. Thank you very much. Maybe we could scroll up a	8		referred to as the consolidation point at the
11 A That's correct. 12 Q And this is a service that is provided by 13 software that's called a mixer or a tumbler? 14 A That is correct. 15 Q And so it makes it more difficult to trace the 16 transaction with a model like this than if it 17 was a straight line from A to B; is that right? 18 A That is correct. 19 MS. ROSE: Okay. And, Madam Registrar, could we 20 please turn to page 22. And, Madam Registrar, 21 could we zoom in on the image here. And maybe 22 scroll up just a little. There. That's great. 23 Thank you very much. Maybe we could scroll up a	9		bottom right-hand corner of the screen; is that
12 Q And this is a service that is provided by 13 software that's called a mixer or a tumbler? 14 A That is correct. 15 Q And so it makes it more difficult to trace the 16 transaction with a model like this than if it 17 was a straight line from A to B; is that right? 18 A That is correct. 19 MS. ROSE: Okay. And, Madam Registrar, could we 20 please turn to page 22. And, Madam Registrar, 21 could we zoom in on the image here. And maybe 22 scroll up just a little. There. That's great. 23 Thank you very much. Maybe we could scroll up a	10		right?
software that's called a mixer or a tumbler? A That is correct. Q And so it makes it more difficult to trace the transaction with a model like this than if it was a straight line from A to B; is that right? A That is correct. MS. ROSE: Okay. And, Madam Registrar, could we please turn to page 22. And, Madam Registrar, could we zoom in on the image here. And maybe scroll up just a little. There. That's great. Thank you very much. Maybe we could scroll up a	11	A	That's correct.
A That is correct. Q And so it makes it more difficult to trace the transaction with a model like this than if it was a straight line from A to B; is that right? A That is correct. MS. ROSE: Okay. And, Madam Registrar, could we please turn to page 22. And, Madam Registrar, could we zould we zoom in on the image here. And maybe scroll up just a little. There. That's great. Thank you very much. Maybe we could scroll up a	12	Q	And this is a service that is provided by
2 And so it makes it more difficult to trace the transaction with a model like this than if it was a straight line from A to B; is that right? A That is correct. MS. ROSE: Okay. And, Madam Registrar, could we please turn to page 22. And, Madam Registrar, could we zould we zoom in on the image here. And maybe scroll up just a little. There. That's great. Thank you very much. Maybe we could scroll up a	13		software that's called a mixer or a tumbler?
transaction with a model like this than if it was a straight line from A to B; is that right? A That is correct. MS. ROSE: Okay. And, Madam Registrar, could we please turn to page 22. And, Madam Registrar, could we zoom in on the image here. And maybe scroll up just a little. There. That's great. Thank you very much. Maybe we could scroll up a	14	A	That is correct.
was a straight line from A to B; is that right? A That is correct. MS. ROSE: Okay. And, Madam Registrar, could we please turn to page 22. And, Madam Registrar, could we zoom in on the image here. And maybe scroll up just a little. There. That's great. Thank you very much. Maybe we could scroll up a	15	Q	And so it makes it more difficult to trace the
A That is correct. MS. ROSE: Okay. And, Madam Registrar, could we please turn to page 22. And, Madam Registrar, could we zould we zoom in on the image here. And maybe scroll up just a little. There. That's great. Thank you very much. Maybe we could scroll up a	16		transaction with a model like this than if it
MS. ROSE: Okay. And, Madam Registrar, could we please turn to page 22. And, Madam Registrar, could we zoom in on the image here. And maybe scroll up just a little. There. That's great. Thank you very much. Maybe we could scroll up a	17		was a straight line from A to B; is that right?
please turn to page 22. And, Madam Registrar, could we zoom in on the image here. And maybe scroll up just a little. There. That's great. Thank you very much. Maybe we could scroll up a	18	A	That is correct.
could we zoom in on the image here. And maybe scroll up just a little. There. That's great. Thank you very much. Maybe we could scroll up a	19	MS.	ROSE: Okay. And, Madam Registrar, could we
22 scroll up just a little. There. That's great. 23 Thank you very much. Maybe we could scroll up a	20		please turn to page 22. And, Madam Registrar,
Thank you very much. Maybe we could scroll up a	21		could we zoom in on the image here. And maybe
	22		scroll up just a little. There. That's great.
little bit, Madam Registrar. There.	23		Thank you very much. Maybe we could scroll up a
	24		little bit, Madam Registrar. There.

So, Mr. Spiro, could you tell me what we are

1		seeing in this image?
2	A	Yes. So let's see here. When we're talking
3		about I believe what we're talking about here
4		is peel changes, and I had mentioned peel chains
5		before which is where you have a number of
6		wallets that are utilized by the same individual
7		or individuals; right? They are processing a
8		number of different kinds of transactions.
9		Eventually you will have some consolidation
10		point or points in relation to this graph and
11		you have to forgive me because this is not a
12		graph that I'm particularly familiar with, but
13		essentially this is a laundering typology which
14		is connected to a number of kinds of potential
15		resources that would be used by bad actors. So
16		you have peel chains, the use of an OTC, you
17		have mixing service that is used as well and
18		then additional consolidation. So I would
19		define this as a more complex laundering
20		typology that is actually employing different
21		methods.
22	MS.	ROSE: Thank you. Madam Registrar, I think that
23		is all I need for this document. Thank you.
24	Q	And one OF the other typologies you mentioned,
25		Mr. Spiro, is chain hopping. I know you've

A

I am.

1		spoken approximate it a bit before, but could
2		you just explain briefly what the process of
3		chain hopping is.
4	А	Yes. The process of chain hopping is fairly
5		easy to explain, which is that an individual
6		will take a certain kind of cryptocurrency and
7		will convert it to another kind of
8		cryptocurrency and then process a number of
9		transactions in each accordingly, potentially
10		using a number of different kinds of
11		cryptocurrencies. Sometimes they will be doing
12		this utilizing cryptocurrencies like altcoins,
13		which are those coins that have far less
14		liquidity in the market, smaller values. So
15		they do this because, and my colleague had
16		alluded to this previously, it is harder to
17		follow the funds in that way. Potentially
18		visually even, you cannot graph them together.
19		So it is a technique that is used by bad actors
20		sometimes, chain hopping, to try and obfuscate
21		the flow of funds.
22	Q	Are you familiar with the recent FinCEN penalty
23		issued to Larry Dean Harmon, who I understand
24		was running Helix and Coin Ninja?
٥٦	_	_

1	Q	Could you describe what services Helix and Coin
2		Ninja were providing?
3	А	Yes, they were providing mixing and tumbling
4		services. They were soliciting customers off
5		the darknet. They were providing exactly the
6		kinds of services that we just described.
7	Q	And are you familiar with FinCEN's process
8		against those companies?
9	А	Yes. There was actually parallel process. I
10		believe there was criminal charges that came
11		from FinCEN as well. But FinCEN had put out the
12		penalty because based on the nature of activity
13		that was associated with these mixers and
14		tumblers that Larry Harmon operated, it was
15		defined as a money service business and was
16		therefore subject to the Bank Secrecy Act and he
17		was in violation, egregious violation, of Bank
18		Secrecy Act on multiple levels. He was not
19		conducting recordkeeping. In fact he was
20		specifically and maliciously deleting any
21		information associated with users. He was not
22		filing suspicious transaction reports, which
23		probably would have encompassed almost every
24		transaction, if not every transaction, that he
25		processed, and there was a mountain of other

1 particulars that were in violation. So he faced 2 this civil penalty. 3 0 And these details of the process that you are 4 describing are public record and publicly available? 5 Yes. 6 Α Q Can you speak to -- are there any -- do you see any legitimate uses for tumblers or mixing 8 9 services? 10 I mean, any time that we're talking about Α 11 obfuscation or privacy, again I would just refer 12 to the specific example that I provided before 13 where there are a handful of potential use cases 14 I could say with legitimacy, but I think it's a 15 relatively small scope, to be honest. 16 So my understanding is, and I'm happy to take 0 17 you to a document if you would like, but my understanding is that there is a rather large 18 19 volume of transactions conducted on Helix and 20 Coin Ninja. Do you know the particulars of the 21 volume of transactions or the dollar value of 22 same? It was thousands of transactions. I believe it 23 Α 2.4 was over \$300 million, I think, estimated in

relation to those transaction volumes.

So it

1		was substantial.
2	Q	And my next question was going to be is this a
3		large volume in the context of virtual asset
4		transactions generally?
5	А	It is a significant volume that I'd say. It's a
6		significant volume in relation to illicit
7		activity, yeah. I'd be comfortable saying that.
8	Q	And I also understand that you're familiar with
9		the recent US Department of Justice seizure of
10		virtual assets in connection with Silk Road?
11	А	That is correct.
12	Q	Do you think you could describe for us what that
13		incident involved?
14	А	Yes. So Silk Road was the original darknet
15		market that existed for procurement of illicit
16		narcotics and a host of other kinds of services
17		that they provided and products that they
18		provided. The operator Ross Ulbricht, also
19		known as the "Dread Pirate Roberts," was
20		arrested in 2013, the site was shut down, but
21		active and ongoing investigations continued in
22		relation to those that were providing services
23		on the site and the associated illicit financial
24		flows. Chainalysis was used by federal
25		investigators to identify 54 previously unknown

Q

1		transactions that had been associated with Silk
2		Road. One of those transactions by way of an
3		additional transaction was connected to a
4		hacker. And recently the US Department of
5		Justice seized I believe at this point
6		significantly over 1 billion, probably closer to
7		upwards of \$1.5 billion of cryptocurrency in a
8		single wallet, and they did that by utilizing
9		Chainalysis, as I mentioned, to identify
10		additional transactions and connected activity.
11	Q	When was the Silk Road operating, roughly?
12	А	It operated for a number of years. You'll have
13		to forgive me. I don't know exactly how long it
14		was in operation. I know it was shut down in
15		2013. It was not particularly old. It was
16		operational for a few years. I know that.
17	Q	And so can you explain to me, the funds that
18		were seized by the DOJ they were connected to
19		one wallet, you said?
20	А	They were connected to one wallet; that is
21		correct.
22	Q	And you're able to trace that wallet to activity
23		on the Silk Road?
24	A	Yes.

Is it accurate to say that those funds were

1		sitting in the wallet since 2013?
2	А	Almost all of the funds. There were a small
3		number of the funds were exited by way of an
4		additional exchange that has since been shut
5		down known as BTCE, which was a primary vehicle
6		for many years for bad actors looking to move
7		funds.
8	Q	Is it sorry, please continue.
9	А	I was going to say the majority of it, yes.
10	Q	Is it common for virtual assets users to park,
11		if I can use that word, their funds in a wallet
12		for that amount of time?
13	А	In some instances. It really depends on the
14		particulars, but if it is a very well known what
15		we would consider to be a hot case or activity
16		that's associated with it, because bad actors
17		potentially know that, you know, law enforcement
18		investigators and others will be following the
19		money and attempting to follow the money, it
20		might sit dormant for a few days or a few
21		months, even potentially a number of years. It
22		varies in relation to the activity. But it does
23		occur, yes.
24	Q	And can you explain the role of FinCEN and other

regulation in enabling the seizure of these

funds?

1

16

17

2	А	Well, I think this was more driven by law
3		enforcement, and I would like to address that
4		directly in a moment. I think generally what I
5		will say is with regulation and the way in which
6		regulation has continued to develop in relation
7		to AML/CFT requirements in relation to the
8		capabilities of both the private and public
9		sector, as that has continued to develop and as
10		the technologies that support investigations and
11		compliance have continued to develop, it lends
12		itself to more success stories and successful
13		investigations. But I would say tieing it into
14		law enforcement, which is important, you know,
15		this year there are a number of prominent cases

prosecutions or seizure or civil forfeiture

orders. You know, you had this case which was

over a billion dollars. There was the Welcome

where Chainalysis has been used and cited

publicly in relation to successful be it

21 to Video case executed by IRS Criminal

Investigation and a number of other agencies

that led to arrests in I believe over

24 30 countries. There were a number of children

that were saved. It was the largest what's know

1	as CSAM material, child abuse material, that was
2	shut down in history which was coordinated with
3	many countries. There were specific parallel
4	actions by the department of justice in OFAC in
5	relation to North Korean hacking and hacking
6	that they had done in relation to cryptocurrency
7	exchanges. The volumes are getting close to, I
8	would say, about between 1.3 and \$1.5 billion in
9	2020. And one of the things that I'm regularly
10	asked is why are we seeing all of this now. It
11	is not because there is a more illicit activity
12	in the cryptocurrency space. We are in fact
13	seeing less illicit activity in the
14	cryptocurrency space as compliance has been
15	ushered in and regulation, et cetera. But the
16	capabilities of law enforcement and
17	investigators have improved substantially.
18	Based on my estimates, which are skewed in the
19	sense that I only know the information that I do
20	in relation to the number of users that we have,
21	I would say we have about 34 times as many
22	investigators in the US that are utilizing
23	Chainalysis than they are in Canada, for
24	example. So I think resources and accessibility
25	have been the primary driver coupled with

1		expertise that has certainly been developed and
2		the great investigators in law enforcement that
3		you have in the US that has driven a lot of
4		those successes, but I expect that that can be
5		duplicated in any place when those resources are
6		applied.
7	Q	Would you say that the increased regulation and
8		in turn increased availability of KYC
9		information that allows law enforcement and
10		others to connect a wallet to a particular
11		individual, would you say that that has played a
12		role in this increase?
13	А	I would say yes. I would say yes. I mean, in
14		an ideal world the way in which successful
15		investigation occurs is by following the money
16		and investigating wallets in the transaction
17		history. And I don't know if I referenced this
18		before, so please apologies if I have. But they
19		would follow it to an exchange and then by way
20		of [indiscernible] or MLAT, if they had to
21		execute that in relation to engagement with a
22		foreign country, if we're talking about the US,
23		for example, depending upon the relationship
24		that they have they would then be able to obtain
25		the associated KYC and PII of those bad actors.

1		That is how it should look like and how it
2		should work in practice successfully.
3	Q	In Canada there's also been a number of
4		notorious samples of exchanges, including
5		probably the biggest story here is QuadrigaCX.
6		What can you tell us about that without getting
7		into any areas of current law enforcement action
8		and what insight does Chainalysis have on what
9		happened there?
10	A	I know there are still a number of active
11		investigations in many different countries in
12		relation to Quadriga. So the amount of
13		information that I can provide you is fairly
14		limited, unfortunately. But what I can tell you
15		is that the operator of Quadriga, Gerald Cotten,
16		you know, essentially stole the users' funds.
17		Not essentially, he did. He stole the users'
18		funds. What we can tell you is in relation to
19		the associated activity based on some of the
20		illicit activity he was already involved in, he
21		could not gain access to banking. He became
22		engaged with some OTC brokers that were less
23		than savory and there has been a lot of
24		associated activity that has been followed all
25		over the world.

1	Q	In using the Reactor software, particularly in
2		the context in which law a enforcement agent
3		might be using the Reactor software, does the
4		software have insight into transactions that are
5		operating only inside the exchange, so back and
6		forth between clients within the exchange?
7	A	What we would call activity in transit. You'll
8		have to forgive me. I don't want to give an
9		inaccurate answer here. My instinct is to
10		supply and answer, but I would prefer to refer
11		bang to you once I'm able to get a confirmation
12		on how that works.
13	Q	That's fine. We certainly wouldn't want you to
14		speculate on an answer that you don't have the
15		knowledge on at this moment.
16	MS.	ROSE: So subject to any questions from you,
17		Mr. Commissioner, those are my questions for
18		Mr. Spiro.
19	THE	COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Ms. Rose. No, I don't
20		have any questions.
21	MS.	ROSE: Okay. My understanding is that the
22		Province of BC will be the next counsel asking
23		questions of Mr. Spiro and their allotment of
24		time is 20 minutes.

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. Ms. Chewka.

Q

1	MS.	CHEWKA: Yes, thank you, Mr. Commissioner.
2	EXAM	INATION BY MS. CHEWKA:
3	Q	Morning Mr. Spiro. Can you hear me okay?
4	A	I can.
5	Q	Excellent. Thank you. I'm going to pick up
6		where my friend Ms. Rose left off and focus all
7		my questions on the regulatory framework and so
8		my first question is whether or not it's fair to
9		say that virtual assets is a complex area that's
10		moving or evolving fairly quickly.
11	A	I think that that is an appropriate assessment.
12	Q	In particular would you say that the risks
13		associated with virtual assets are also
14		constantly changing?
15	A	To a degree. To a degree I thing that's a fair
16		assessment. I think that the technology, as I
17		mentioned, is evolving quickly and we are seeing
18		new use cases and instances where there may be
19		additional risks. There are a lot of parallels,
20		though, in relation to illicit finance and
21		illicit activity that we see in this space that
22		you would see in traditional financial services
23		as well, so I think there are a number of
24		constants that will be maintained.

And in your experience have the law enforcement

1		agencies that you've dealt with kept pace with
2		those changes?
3	А	In my experience what we've seen is that
4		globally law enforcement agencies by and large
5		were kind of behind the curve in relation to
6		this. I think it has been a catchup game. I
7		think some of them have been evolving quickly
8		and adapting and kind of building out their
9		capabilities and I think others are still
10		developing those capabilities.
11	Q	For those that have been evolving quickly, I
12		understand that you had mentioned in your
13		evidence resourcing and training. Is that sort
14		of the key to success for law enforcement
15		evolution in this area?
16	А	I think so. I mean, I can just speak again to
17		the capability of blockchain forensics and the
18		success stories that we've seen this year. You
19		know, part of that, I mean it's a combination of
20		things. There has been robust training, right,
21		of those agencies, you know, rapid expansion in
22		relation to those users and those that become
23		what we like to call power users. And so
24		they're coupling the expertise that they're
25		learning about the activity with the products

Α

1 and with the training and then they're just 2 seeing, you know, almost unprecedented results, 3 I would say. So yes. 4 0 I understand from your evidence and as you just explained it now that these law enforcement 5 agencies are using your software as an 6 7 investigative tool. Is that a fair assessment of how they're using it primarily? 8 9 Α Yes. 10 And so am I correct in saying that law Q 11 enforcement agencies are using your services 12 primarily after the alleged criminal activity 13 has occurred? 14 For law enforcement explicitly I would think Α 15 that's probably accurate. However, they can 16 identify other potential leads and intelligence, 17 right, in relation to other activity which we do 18 see regularly as well. So they may be working 19 on specific activity, a specific case. They may 20 identify a number of associated wallets, for 21 example, and individuals, a larger network, more 22 activity which leads to more success. 23 Q So while it's primarily a reactive tool it can 2.4 have preventative uses as well?

I think it certainly has preventative uses.

1		mean, we've seen that many times as well.
2	Q	Now, in your evidence you also talked about the
3		FINTRAC reporting requirements under the
4		PCMLTFA, and is it is fair to say that those
5		reporting requirements are occurring again after
6		suspicious transactions have occurred?
7	A	No, some of those reporting requirements are not
8		connected at all to suspicious transactions.
9		They're connected to transaction thresholds, in
10		fact. Some of that aligns directly with the
11		travel rule, for example, that we have seen come
12		from the Financial Action Task Force and any of
13		that kind of information would support potential
14		investigations, right, because it provides
15		additional information and ease of accessibility
16		to law enforcement and investigators and
17		regulators, but it is not all connected to
18		directly to, in relation to recordkeeping,
19		directly to suspicious activity.
20	Q	Would you say that those reporting requirements
21		are again more reactive in nature if we're
22		trying to draw this distinction between
23		preventative and reactive, or would you say also
24		has a preventative result?
25	A	I think it is a preventative intended result. I

1		think when it comes to recordkeeping and those
2		kinds of requirements, there does always need to
3		be a balance between what the impact is on
4		business function and, you know, harkening back
5		to something you hear regularly which is the
6		cost of compliance. So what the impact is on
7		those entities in the private sector to be
8		compliant with these things versus what
9		potential information it provides and the,
10		again, financial integrity it potentially
11		provides. So I do think that it needs to be
12		taken into account. You know, regulation is a
13		tricky thing because if it becomes too
14		draconian, which I'm not saying what this is at
15		all, but if it does become that way in relation
16		to competition, those that fall under that remit
17		will have potential problems, right, being
18		competitive in those in other jurisdictions
19		even.
20	Q	In your evidence again today you have emphasized
21		the importance of realtime monitoring as part of
22		the strategy to addressing illicit activity. Is
23		that a fair assessment of your evidence today?
24	A	Yes.
25	Q	And based on your experience what additional

1		steps could be taken beyond the KYC requirements
2		that you had mentioned to prevent or mitigate
3		some the risks that are associated with virtual
4		assets?
5	А	So obviously enhancing implementation of
6		regulation would be one thing; right?
7		Developing those compliance essentials, having
8		those programs in place. Having policies and
9		procedures, having red flags and typologies,
10		integrating that type of information into your
11		organization so you can identify that.
12		Identifying those kind of risks, doing your
13		internal risk assessment. The next step that
14		hasn't really been discussed which I think is an
15		important one, and we've only seen that this
16		really applied in very few jurisdictions thus
17		far, but it's an extremely important, is all
18		these regulatory requirements are now being
19		relayed and identified. So for exchanges that
20		have not been subject to regulation before or
21		have no requirements, they are putting the
22		program in place, right, so they will have the
23		compliance officer, the compliance program, you
24		know, all those things that I've mentioned a few
25		times today.

1		Implementation is one thing, but what's
2		known as enterprise risk management is seen as
3		the next iteration, right, which is where you
4		conduct these audits and these audits are
5		required to identify how successfully the
6		program functions. To identify gaps, to
7		identify potential vulnerabilities, different
8		kinds of testing conducted, seeing how effective
9		your program is. And that ongoing long term is
10		going to be extremely important and beneficial
11		because the carrot, for lack of a better term,
12		in many jurisdictions right now is if an
13		organization puts a program in place, they can
14		then get registered successfully and potentially
15		licensed to operate; right? But you want this
16		in perpetuity to be successful. You want to
17		make sure this is continued and that the upkeep
18		occurs, so I think that is the next step that's
19		important as well.
20	Q	You had mentioned that with this enterprise risk
21		management that there are a couple of
22		jurisdictions. Are those the ones that are
23		implementing this next step, and if so, which
24		jurisdictions are doing that?
25	А	So there are some that are more advanced, I

1	would say, just in relation to not only to
2	releasing the regulation but highlighting things
3	like enterprise risk management. The monetary
4	authority of Singapore comes to mind. In
5	relation to what I'd say are some of the most
6	advance regulatory regimes I think FinCEN for me
7	is probably the best example. FinCEN put out an
8	extremely prescriptive guidance around virtual
9	assets in 2019. They have put out multiple
10	advisories specifically in relation to virtual
11	assets. They have a program called FinCEN
12	Exchange wherein they bring the public sector
13	and the private sector directly together to
14	address specific issues and they've done that
15	for virtual assets. They recently did this for
16	ransomware as well, business email compromise I
17	believe also. These programs are extremely
18	important for information sharing in a closed
19	session and developing new tools. And then they
20	have as a regulator a specific unit that focuses
21	on virtual asset enforcement, and that to my
22	knowledge is fairly unprecedented also, wherein
23	within the FIU they have that specific group
24	that specifically focuses on that kind of
25	activity.

1	Q	Now, you mentioned FinCEN. Are there other
2		jurisdictions that we should be looking to for
3		cross-jurisdictional learning purposes in your
4		experience?
5	A	I think there's a number. I think the monetary
6		authority of Singapore is a good one. I think
7		Japan is a good one. I think the UKFCA has
8		built out a good regime in relation to their
9		requirements. I mentioned the US. I think the
10		program itself that's been developed by ADGM,
11		Abu Dhabi Global Markets, is robust as well.
12		Those would be off the top of my head.
13	Q	Is it there anything that these jurisdictions
14		are doing in particular that you would say
15		beyond what you've already given evidence on
16		that are like the hallmarks of a robust
17		regulatory regime in this area?
18	A	I think that they have been they took a
19		proactive approach initially, so I think the
20		regimes that they've built out are just, you
21		know, extremely strategic. They've put a lot of
22		time and effort into not only identifying the
23		risks but the particulars that will be important
24		to successful functionality in the country;
25		right? While still in many cases trying to

1		support invasion. So you know, I will add some
2		of them are not functional technically yet.
3		They have just built out these regimes that I
4		think are very good as a benchmark.
5	Q	I'll ask a specific question based on the
6		testimony of Sergeant Vickery yesterday.
7		Yesterday she testified that in her view one
8		solution or maybe a part of a solution would be
9		to have financial institutions deal directly in
10		virtual assets or with virtual assets and
11		eliminate third party public exchanges. So I'm
12		going to ask a two-part question here. The
13		first part is in your view whether or not
14		Sergeant Vickery's proposed solution is viable
15		or in your opinion whether there is still a role
16		here for a unique independent regulator of third
17		party public exchanges?
18	A	Well, right now there is not a unique
19		independent regulator for these kinds of
20		businesses; right? Usually they roll into the
21		same regulatory regime that you have for other
22		kinds of financial services. I think generally
23		that makes sense. I do think that is a
24		potential option. That you could have, right,
25		if you had the resources in a country to have

1	specific regulation that is applied just
2	specifically to this space, you could do that.
3	I think our end goal and our motto is building
4	trust in blockchains. When I say that what I
5	mean is we are a unique intermediary if you
6	think about us between the public and the
7	private sector and you have data that is used
8	collectively to build trust in this ecosystem.
9	For larger adoption to occur outside of what
10	we've seen thus far, right, even though there
11	are promising developments eventually what you
12	would like is institutional adoption, in the
13	sense that there would be the accessibility
14	between fiat, between using banking, between
15	using cryptocurrency, and that fusion would
16	eventually occur I think for this really to take
17	off and develop in the way that it has the
18	potential to do so. I don't think necessarily
19	one entity needs to swallow another. I don't
20	think traditional banking needs to swallow up
21	the cryptocurrency space or the organizations.
22	They have subject matter expertise and they have
23	brought very unique thinking and technical
24	advancements that I don't think could be
25	replicated or duplicated easily by a big bank.

So I think cohesively working together is
probably ideally what happens. But for the
financial institutions, for the large financial
institutions, you are seeing them to start to
dip their toes in. But for them to become more
comfortable, really more comfortable with the
space, it comes from successful regulation and
identifying the fact that it is a safe ecosystem
I think we are seeing progress there, but I
think it has to get there for you to see more of
that kind of development.

I will add one other thing, or please proceed, actually. This is not -- what I would add in relation to investigations, however, is conceptually the idea of a national centre that -- and I mean this for many different jurisdictions where they potentially have the resources to do it this is I think potentially valuable, because again with the cryptocurrency ecosystem existing in the way in which it does and again the speed, the velocity and the nature of it, I think having centralized resources, law enforcement capabilities to work and tackle on this project is potentially attractive. I'm not saying necessary but I think potentially

1 attractive.

Α

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

2 Are there are any potential disadvantages to Q 3 regulating this area? And so I'm thinking, for 4 example, of the stifling of invasion. You've also mentioned things like you can become too 5 draconian. Can you elaborate on the potential 6 7 disadvantages or at what point we go too far potentially in your view.

> Yeah, I think that invasion has driven so many amazing things right in relation to digital payments and Fintech, what's known as Fintech recently, right, and will continue to do that and in fact it's moving more quickly than I would have expected, which is amazing. But two things are happening. One, those innovators and those that are developing this technology sometimes are not soliciting the feedback and having the kind of engagement that they should with the regulators and then they're running into major regulatory hurdles, right, because, again, if something becomes dangerous even if it's brilliant and unique there have to be some controls. There has to be protection, consumer protection, safety, these kinds of thing. that is on the one hand. But on the other hand

1		if you bring in regulation too stringently, the
2		innovators, the biggest innovators when they
3		realize that they cannot develop those
4		technologies and exist in the jurisdiction they
5		are in, they will leave. They will go to places
6		where they can, where they can develop and they
7		can deploy the technology effectively. That is
8		something that will happen. It certainly is a
9		concern, it's a concern that I've heard from
10		domestic operators in the US before and I've
11		heard it from other jurisdictions as well, so
12		it's something to take into account. It
13		requires a balance.
14	Q	And my last question for you today is that I
15		understand from your evidence that bad actors in
16		this area can be quite savvy or adaptable. And
17		in your view will regulation prevent potential
18		illicit activity or will it simply shift that
19		activity elsewhere and how do we stay ahead of
20		that curve?
21	A	So think of it as bad actors are always looking
22		at a fence, probing the fence for
23		vulnerabilities or holes to exploit. You have
24		that in the traditional financial services
25		ecosystem. You have that in this ecosystem as

1	well. So that will exist. I think there would
2	not be the capability people have mentioned
3	before well, if you do this or you do that
4	you'll just push this complete black market or
5	grey market into existence. And there is
6	potential for something like that to develop, to
7	be fair. You could have that. But what I hark
8	back to the fact that without the accessibility
9	and the liquidity that is connected to this
10	global ecosystem to those that have access to
11	banking and otherwise, there's not going to be
12	the kind of value associated with doing it or
13	access wherein it would be feasible long term
14	and sustainable, I think is the better word that
15	I'm looking for. It could happen. There are
16	certain particulars wherein you could see a push
17	to those kinds of markets. I don't know how
18	large they could potentially grow. It is
19	something that could happen, but that's my
20	personal opinion.
21	MS. CHEWKA: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Commissioner,
22	I have no further questions.
23	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Ms. Chewka. I'm sorry.
24	Go ahead, Ms. Rose.

MS. ROSE: Mr. Commissioner, I believe our next

25

1	cross-examination will be conducted by
2	Ms. Jessica Magonet of the BCCLA and Ms. Magonet
3	has 25 minutes.
4	THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. Thank you, Ms. Magonet.
5	MS. MAGONET: Good morning, Mr. Commissioner. Thank
6	you. Everyone.
7	EXAMINATION BY MS. MAGONET:
8	Q Mr. Spiro, I have a few questions for you today.
9	Can you hear me?
10	A I can.
11	Q Excellent. My first questions concerns the
12	cryptocrime report produced by Chainalysis.
13	MS. MAGONET: If Madam Registrar could please pull
14	that up. That would be great. Actually not
15	this document but the cryptocrime report.
16	Though I will want to go to that document after.
17	Thank you. If you could please go to page 5.
18	Q So at the top of page 5 it says:
19	If the last few years have proven
20	anything, it's that cryptocurrency isn't
21	just for criminals. Polling shows that
22	adoption is increasing, as 18 percent of
23	all Americans and 35 percent of American
24	millennials have purchased cryptocurrency
25	in the last year. Mainstream financial

1		institutions like JP Morgan Chase are
2		getting involved. Popular retailers like
3		Amazon and Starbucks now allow customers
4		to pay in Bitcoin."
5		You would agree with this statement?
6	A	I am just going to read it again.
7	Q	Oh, no problem.
8	A	Yes, I think we're seeing more adoption. In
9		fact if you would reference the price of bitcoin
10		today and all of the press we're seeing I think
11		it tracks with that statement, yes.
12	Q	Thank you.
13	MS.	MAGONET: And, Madam Registrar, if you could
14		scroll down a bit yes.
15	Q	So further down on the page just below the graph
16		it says:
17		"Illicit transactions still make up a
18		small share of all cryptocurrency activity
19		as just 1.1 percent."
20		And you would agree with this statement?
21	A	This was as of 2019?
22	Q	Yes.
23	A	I think potentially slightly lower even this
24		year, although you can't quote me on that, but,

yes, I agree with this, yes.

25

1	Q	And earlier today in your testimony I just
2		wanted to confirm you said the amount of illicit
3		activity in the cryptocurrency space is actually
4		going down; is that correct?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	Thank you.
7	MS.	MAGONET: Madam Registrar, if you could go to
8		page 6 of this report.
9	Q	And I just want to find the sentence I'm looking
10		for. So just below the graph here it says:
11		"Were it not for just three separate
12		large-scale Ponzi schemes, the crime would
13		account for just .46 percent of all
14		cryptocurrency activity."
15		Understanding this is based on a 2019 report you
16		would agree with this statement?
17	A	Yes. From 2019, yes.
18	Q	Great. And you would agree that this report
19		demonstrates that the majority of cryptocurrency
20		gained through criminal activity just goes to a
21		small segment of criminals?
22	A	Yes. That's accurate, I would say.
23	Q	Great. Thank you. Those are my questions with
24		respect to this report. I now have some

questions related to Chainalysis's work with law

Jesse Spiro (for the commission) Exam by Ms. Magonet

1		enforcement. As a first question can you tell
2		me which police agencies that Chainalysis has
3		contracts with in Canada?
4	A	I'm not sure based on confidentiality that we
5		have if I can do that. Not only is that what I
6		would say, but full disclosure that kind of
7		falls outside of my remit in relation to the
8		work that I do directly. So apologies.
9	Q	No, that's fine. I certainly wouldn't want to
10		ask you to give evidence outside of the scope of
11		your knowledge. Are you able to tell me whether
12		Chainalysis has contracts with any law
13		enforcement agencies in Canada and in particular
14		in British Columbia?
15	А	I believe I can say that we do have contracts
16		with law enforcement in Canada, yes. I can't
17		tell you where explicitly, but I believe we do
18		have them in Canada, yes.
19	Q	And do you know if Chainalysis has contracts
20		with other government agencies or national
21		security agencies in Canada?
22	А	Again, to my knowledge I don't know the scope in
23		relation to the number of agencies. I know that
24		we work with law enforcement in Canada. It is
25		certainly possible that we work with additional

controls?

1		government agencies in Canada. Probably likely
2		I would say as well, in fact.
3	Q	Thank you. Is the Chainalysis Reactor software
4		a software that can be purchased by companies as
5		well as law enforcement?
6	А	Yes.
7	Q	Can it be purchased by individuals?
8	А	No.
9	Q	Okay. And what limits if any does Chainalysis
10		place on a customer, whether it be law
11		enforcement or a company, who purchases the
12		Chainalysis Reactor software in terms of what
13		they can do with the data available through that
14		software? Are there any limits placed on that?
15	А	I don't know if I understand the question. Are
16		you saying do we put controls around what our
17		users can do with the data?
18	Q	Exactly. That's my question.
19	А	I would assume it varies depending upon the kind
20		of entity that we work with. In some cases I
21		would assume correctly that there will be
22		controls in relation to what can be done with
23		the data.
24	Q	Do you think in some cases there would be no

1	A	I cannot speak one way or the other to if there
2		were or were not controls in relation to our
3		customers. Again, unfortunately, you know, I
4		handle regulatory affairs and policy for our
5		organization. I am familiar with our products
6		and services. I'm familiar loosely in relation
7		to scope with the kinds of entities that we work
8		with, but in relation to the specific mechanics,
9		functionality, those kinds of things in relation
10		to contracting, which is a lot of what I think
11		you're discussing and mentioning, I do not have
12		visibility into that kind of information.
13	Q	No problem. Thank you. So when Chainalysis
14		works with law enforcement is the way it usually
15		works that law enforcement is purchasing the
16		Chainalysis Reactor software?
17	A	With law enforcement in many cases they would
18		purchase our software, yes.
19	Q	And they might also obtain contracts-based
20		investigative services from Chainalysis as well.
21		Could that happen?
22	A	It could.
23	Q	Thank you. Madam Registrar, if you could please
24		pull up the PDF document of the Chainalysis

website for the Chainalysis Reactor page.

1		Mr. Spiro, do you recognize this. This is a
2		print to PDF of the Chainalysis Reactor tab on
3		the Chainalysis Reactor website.
4	А	It does look like Reactor, yes.
5	Q	Okay. Excellent.
6	MS. I	MAGONET: Madam Registrar, if you could please
7		scroll down a bit to the bottom of that page.
8	Q	Here it says that Chainalysis Reactor allows you
9		to:
10		"Enter any cryptocurrency address to learn
11		which entity controls the wallet and
12		discover related addresses."
13		Would you agree that this is what Chainalysis
14		Reactor allows you to do?
15	А	It allows to you identify entities, yes. Not
16		individuals but you can identify entities, yes.
17	Q	And what is meant by entities? Is that a
18		company? Is that a group of people?
19	А	It would not be a group of people. It would be
20		you can identify what we would consider to be
21		entities on the blockchain. It could be a
22		company. It could be a kind of service. It
23		could be a darknet market. It could be
24		something that is unknown, right, where we have

not been able to make an attribution or define;

1		we just know there is a number of connectivity
2		there, there's a number of different kinds of
3		services in this space. It could be other kinds
4		of services that exist in this space like
5		gambling site, et cetera. So there's a number
6		of different kind of entities that could be
7		identified.
8	Q	So the Reactor allows you to say which entity is
9		controlling a cryptocurrency wallet; that's
10		correct? Sorry it go over this again. I just
11		want to make sure I understand.
12	А	Yes.
13	Q	Okay. Great. Does the Chainalysis Reactor
14		software allow users to determine the location
15		of this entity?
16	А	If we have information on the entity in relation
17		to where it's domiciled, I wouldn't hark to
18		Reactor; I would hark to Kryptos wherein we have
19		some of that registration and other kinds of
20		information. But I
21	Q	Could sorry, please go ahead.
22	А	I would warn, because it sounds like we're
23		getting into territory where you are starting to
24		ask questions about functionality of our
0.5		

products. And so what I would say is one,

1		harking to the effect there are confidentiality
2		agreements and proprietary information that I
3		potentially couldn't share, but more to the
4		point further and what I would call most
5		concerning for why I don't think I could
6		answer certain types of questions if we're going
7		in that direction is because this is public
8		testimony and because this is public testimony
9		there is a potential that anyone could watch
10		this, including bad actors and money launderers
11		and potentially identify information that would
12		contribute to their efforts. In fact I can cite
13		specific cases where this has happened recently
14		and then published. So I think that would be
15		the antithesis of what we're doing this hearing
16		for and why I have chosen to participate and
17		provide testimony today. So if we are going in
18		that direction I would have to say that I
19		respectfully not be able to speak about those
20		kinds of capabilities, if we did or didn't have
21		them.
22	Q	I certainly understand that and I wouldn't want
23		to you to have to answer any questions that you
24		don't feel positioned to answer. But just so
25		you understand the thrust of my questions I'm

1		just tying to get a sense of not the specifics
2		of the types of information that are available
3		through the Chainalysis Reactor but at a more
4		higher level scale. So maybe what I could do,
5		then, is to return to something I believe you
6		said earlier to Ms. Rose or perhaps it was
7		Mr. Place who said this but that Chainalysis is
8		providing analysis of the blockchain which is
9		publicly available data; is that correct?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	But Chainalysis isn't just providing or the
12		Reactor isn't just providing analysis of the
13		blockchain, it's also integrating other data
14		sources. Is that accurate?
15	A	Yes. We use human intelligence, heuristics a
16		number of different kinds of information to
17		provide the data that we provide. That is
18		correct.
19	Q	And on this document here it says it alludes to
20		the fact that the Chainalysis Reactor uses open
21		source intelligence data. That's correct?
22	A	There is some open source intelligence data that
23		is included. It is not referenced in relation
24		to all of the wallets, all of the attributions;
25		there is just some that is contributed and

1		contained in relation to specific transactions.
2	Q	And just to speak at a high level, not the
3		specific data that Chainalysis is using but for
4		the benefit of any people here who may not know
5		what open source intelligence data is, that
6		could include things like your social media
7		page, your media report, commercial data from a
8		private database, data purchased from data
9		brokers. Is that accurate?
10	А	No, any of the information that would be
11		included and again I'm going to curb this after
12		I provide the following because, again, I don't
13		want to provide any proprietary information in
14		relation to what we use or who we work with.
15		Any of the information that we are using to my
16		knowledge is actual open source information.
17		And when you talk about proprietary database and
18		other kinds of information, I don't believe that
19		would be considered to be open source. I could
20		be wrong. We do solicit information from a
21		number of different resources that we use. We
22		also have a number of partners that we work with
23		to solicit the different kinds of information.
24	Q	Okay. Thank you. Would you agree that open
25		source intelligence data, while open source so

Q

1		publicly available, may still be considered
2		private by an individual. So for example, a
3		post to a social media page, even if that could
4		fall within the scope of OSINT, a person
5		publishing it might consider it to be private?
6	A	Yeah, I mean data privacy and data protection
7		are something that we are intimately familiar
8		with. You know, it is something that I
9		understand. I will add that there is a historic
10		conflict that it seems you're starting to work
11		around which is there is a conflict with data
12		privacy and data protection and potentially with
13		the anti-money laundering and CFT laws. This is
14		historic. You see it in Europe with GDPR in the
15		AML laws there. You see in it other places
16		potentially as well. It certainly is
17		potentially something that exists. That is not
18		something that is not something that I think
19		is potentially relevant in relation to the kind
20		of work that we do, but again, because I can't
21		focus in on any of the specific kinds of
22		information that we solicit I unfortunately
23		don't think I can provide you any additional
24		information on that.
0.5	•	

Thank you. Are you able, and it's okay if

A

1		you're not, but are you able to tell me if
2		Chainalysis ever purchases data from data
3		brokers?
4	A	Off the top of my head I am not sure. I do not
5		know. I believe it is possible, but off the top
6		of my head I'm not sure. But entirely possible,
7		probable.
8	Q	Thank you. And is the Chainalysis Reactor able
9		to link a cryptocurrency wallet to a specific IP
10		address? Is that something you're able to tell
11		me?
12	A	That again, what you're talking about are
13		specific functions and features and I cannot
14		talk to you about any of those things today,
15		unfortunately, so my apologies.
16	Q	Thank you. Does Chainalysis ever disclose
17		personal information by selling its products to
18		the entities that purchase it?
19	A	Sorry, could you ask the question again.
20	Q	Certainly. So does Chainalysis through the sale
21		of its products ever disclose personal
22		information to the entities who are purchasing
23		them or information you might consider to be
24		sensitive?

Do we disclose PII or sensitive information to

1		our clients?
2	Q	Sorry, perhaps I'll rephrase my question. When
3		a client purchases Chainalysis software such as
4		the Chainalysis Reactor is Chainalysis
5		effectively giving them access to personal
6		information?
7	A	No. This is pseudo-anonymous addresses that are
8		all public on the blockchain.
9	Q	Okay. Sorry, I didn't mean to cut you off.
10	A	Yeah, all of this information in relation to the
11		blockchain in these wallets, A, they are
12		pseudo-anonymous so you cannot identify who the
13		owner is. We don't ever touch any of that kind
14		of information.
15	Q	Thank you. Does Chainalysis have any
16		requirement that it applies before it will allow
17		law enforcement sorry, let me think about how
18		to reformulate this question. Does Chainalysis
19		ever require law enforcement to seek a
20		production order prior to providing data to law
21		enforcement?
22	A	I mean law enforcement would have to be a client
23		of ours to be using our services. There are
24		instances where trials are provided to any kind
25		of client that we have, right, before purchasing

1		a service potentially.
2	Q	But do you ever require law enforcement to seek
3		a production order or a warrant before
4		disclosing information to them?
5	А	If are we talking about if they are our
6		client or if they are not a client of ours?
7	Q	If they are your client.
8	А	What kind of data would they you're saying
9		I don't know if I understand the question. I
10		have to apologize. In relation to the usage of
11		our services?
12	Q	Certainly. My apologies if it wasn't clear. So
13		perhaps this context, usually when law
14		enforcement is seeking a person's personal
15		financial data from a bank, for example, they
16		can't just walk in and get that. Like, they
17		would need a production order first given the
18		sensitivity and privacy of the type of
19		information. And I was wondering if there is a
20		similar process when working with Chainalysis or
21		if you take the position that this is all
22		publicly available data so that's not necessary?
23	А	Yes. It's not necessary in relation to their
24		use of our data. I think if they were to
25		solicit data from exchanges, that would exist.

1	Q	Okay. Thank you. And earlier you alluded to
	×	
2		the fact that cryptocurrency is highly
3		traceable. Would you agree with that statement?
4	А	I would say that it provides a transparency, a
5		traceability, providence. That certainly
6		exists, yes.
7	Q	Would you agree that cryptocurrency when
8		combined with blockchain analysis technology
9		presents an unprecedented opportunity for
10		financial surveillance?
11	А	I would not call it financial surveillance. I
12		would talk about financial integrity, which is
13		important for any kind of functional ecosystem.
14		We exist as a white hat organization. As I
15		mentioned before we stop proliferation
16		financing, human exploitation, child
17		trafficking, terrorism financing this year. You
18		name it, we have been able to assist in relation
19		to that. And so unless somebody in turn doesn't
20		want that to exist and wants to allow all of
21		that activity unimpeded, this is essential and
22		extremely valuable. That would be my opinion.
23	Q	Thank you. You would agree that Chainalysis
24		Reactor can be used in not only a reactive
25		manner but also in a proactive manner to

1		predict well, perhaps not predict but detect
2		activity that perhaps law enforcement didn't
3		have a pre-existing interest in or awareness of?
4	А	I think it's law enforcement's duty to have an
5		interest in illicit activity, but they would
6		only be following the flow of funds in relation
7		to illicit activity. They wouldn't be looking
8		for you know, in relation to the scenarios
9		that I'm familiar with, they would not be just
10		trolling along on the blockchain wasting
11		valuable hours and resources.
12	Q	Thank you. And earlier when Ms. Rose was asking
13		you about changes you think would be beneficial
14		to Canada's anti-money laundering and terrorist
15		financing laws with respect to virtual assets, I
16		just wanted to make sure I understood something
17		that you said. I believe that you said that
18		increased reporting would be beneficial given
19		its preventative, potential preventative uses.
20		Is that correct?
21	A	Increased reporting as in a record? I mean,
22		there are recordkeeping requirements that are in
23		existence that require increased recordkeeping.
24		Increased reporting is something else, though.
25		That's something completely different. You

1		potentially run into an issue when there's too
2		much reporting in relation to STR where they
3		can't effectively be worked through. They
4		should be targeted. It should be targeted
5		information that supports law enforcement and
6		regulators in relation to their efforts. So
7		volume, yeah, volume is not necessarily a good
8		thing at all. If you're starting from nothing
9		it's important to continue to begin to build
10		that, but you know, I would say it needs to be
11		targeted.
12	Q	Thank you. I just have two or three last
13		questions for you because I know I'm running out
14		of time. Are you able to tell me how much
15		Chainalysis's contracts with policing and
16		intelligence agencies in Canada are worth, or is
17		that outside the scope of your knowledge?
18	A	It's outside the scope of my knowledge. My
19		apologies.
20	Q	No worries. And do you know in Chainalysis
21		employees have ever acted as experts in criminal
22		prosecutions in Canada?
23	A	I do not. I should say I do not know.
24	Q	And do you know if there are any other ways that

Chainalysis would receive revenue from law

2.4

25

1 enforcement or government within Canada? 2 A It would only be from contracts. 3 MS. MAGONET: Okay. Thank you. Those are my 4 questions. Thank you very much. THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. 5 MS. ROSE: Mr. Commissioner, I'm hoping that we might 6 take a further short break of perhaps ten minutes now to address an issue that has 8 9 arisen if you're amenable to that. 10 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, all right. That's fine, 11 Ms. Rose. Ten minutes. 12 MS. ROSE: Sorry, Mr. Commissioner. I just wonder it 13 if you wish to give the witness the usual 14 caution about not discussing his evidence during 15 the break. 16 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes. All right. Thank you. 17 Mr. Spiro, it's a common rule I'm sure in the US 18 as well as in Canada, but when you're under 19 cross-examination you're not permitted to speak 20 with anyone about your evidence. So I just 21 indicate that rule is in place for you. Thank 22 you. 23 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

THE COMMISSIONER: All right. We'll take

ten minutes.

1	THE REGISTRAR: This hearing is stood down for
2	ten minutes until 12:56 p.m. Please mute your
3	mic and turn off your video. Thank you.
4	(WITNESS STOOD DOWN)
5	(PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 12:46 P.M.)
6	(PROCEEDINGS RECONVENED AT 12:56 P.M.)
7	THE REGISTRAR: Thank you for waiting. The hearing
8	is now resumed. Mr. Commissioner.
9	JESSE SPIRO, for the
10	commission, recalled.
11	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Madam Registrar. Yes,
12	Ms. Rose.
13	MS. ROSE: Mr. Commissioner, before we move on to the
14	next participant's examination I wanted to
15	indicate on the record something here. As you
16	know, both Mr. Spiro and Mr. Place are here from
17	Chainalysis and the focus of the evidence today
18	has been on Mr. Spiro, although we did have
19	Mr. Place attending in particular to facilitate
20	the demonstration of the Chainalysis products.
21	Unfortunately, Mr. Place has been feeling
22	unwell. He currently has a fever and he is
23	unable to continue today, and so we are hopeful
24	that we can cover the ground as necessary with
25	Mr. Spiro, but in the event that Mr. Gratl for

1	Transparency International considers that there
2	are outstanding issues at the end of this
3	cross-examination with Mr. Spiro, I suggest that
4	we address it at that time because I do know
5	that my colleagues had contacted Mr. Place to
6	inquire about him attending, but I'm
7	understanding that that's not possible at this
8	time.
9	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. All right. Thank you,
10	Ms. Rose. Mr. Gratl, are you ready and able to
11	proceed with Mr. Spiro?
12	MR. GRATL: I am, thank you, Mr. Commissioner.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you.
14	EXAMINATION BY MR. GRATL:
15	Q So, Mr. Spiro, Chainalysis clients include
16	virtual assets service providers including
17	crypto-exchanges; is that right?
18	A That is correct.
19	Q And then other clients include financial
20	services businesses, including banks and hedge
21	funds and private equity groups; is that right?
22	A I believe so, yes.
23	Q Okay. And in terms of those financial services
24	businesses it's not possible to tell on the face

of it whether those entities are acting as

correct?

1		over-the-counter brokers; is that right?
2	А	Well, to my knowledge I don't know of any bank
3		that is or financial provider that would be
4		acting as an OTC broker. There would be flags,
5		if you will, or tells in relation as I mentioned
6		before to large volumes associated with OTCs
7		wherever you are. So that activity would be
8		identifiable.
9	Q	Yes, and you'll agree with me that 90 percent of
10		all volume in terms of cryptocurrency
11		transaction is now in excess of \$10,000; is that
12		correct?
13	A	It could be potentially be accurate. Off the
14		top of my head I cannot confirm, but I know that
15		in relation to transaction volume it certainly
16		is plausible.
17	Q	It's around 90 percent then, is it? Over, in
18		excess of \$10,000?
19	A	That seems realistic. I would have to cite
20		specifics to be able to confirm definitively,
21		but that seems realistic.
22	Q	And transactions in excess of \$1 million
23		constitute more than half of the entire dollar
24		amount of cryptocurrency transactions now;

1	A	I don't know if I understand the question.
2	Q	All right. Let's say you've got a total dollar
3		amount X of all cryptocurrency transactions in a
4		given month. Greater than half of that total
5		dollar amount consists of transactions in excess
6		of \$1 million; correct?
7	А	I think you have to make the distinction between
8		what happens on chain as it pertains to services
9		and activity between services in those kinds of
10		entities and then there is unhosted activity as
11		well which is activity between wallets which
12		also exists. So you know, there's a lot of
13		different potential data components involved
14		there.
15	Q	I'll just read from your report to 2010
16		geography of cryptocurrency.
17	THE	COMMISSIONER: Can we put that up on screen,
18		Mr. Gratl.
19	MR.	GRATL: Sure. At page 103, Madam Registrar,
20		please.
21	Q	You'll see at the bottom, Madam Registrar, if
22		you could scroll down. "As of June" I'm
23		reading. June of 2020 I take it, Mr. Spiro.
24		" over 90 percent of cryptocurrency

transfer volume came from

A

Yes.

1		professional-sized transfers above \$10,000
2		worth of cryptocurrency."
3		That's pretty
4	A	Yeah. Yes. So the distinction that I was
5		making is this is transfer volume that we're
6		talking about between entities; right? That's
7		all that I was saying. But obviously this is
8		our data, so confirmed.
9	Q	Confirm. And then the following paragraph takes
10		this high volume of high worth transfers as an
11		indication that the That the area is
12		becoming more legitimate; is that right?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	And then over on the following page you'll see
15		in the first full paragraph under the graph at
16		the last sentence:
17		"The increasing dominance of North
18		America's professional market since
19		December 2019 appears to be almost
20		entirely driven by transfers of \$1 million
21		or more worth of cryptocurrency, many of
22		which we believe are coming from
23		institutional investors."
24		Is that right?

Q

1	Q	And those institutional investors, I'm
2		suggesting to you some of them are your clients.
3		Am I wrong about that?
4	А	No. You're not wrong.
5	Q	And you keep track of which of your investors
6		are involved in these transactions in excess of
7		\$1 million?
8	А	Yes, we would be able to follow the flow of
9		funds, yeah.
10	Q	All right. And for those you don't keep records
11		of whether they're acting as OTC brokers, do
12		you?
13	А	I don't think we keep explicit records in
14		relation to functionally how they are
15		interacting, no.
16	Q	Sure. But all of those entities, banks, hedge
17		funds, private equity groups that are your
18		clients might be acting as OTC brokers but you
19		don't know whether that is so?
20	А	That's not yeah, that's not a service that we
21		would provide. We will have a record of
22		transactions that have occurred, so we would
23		have a record in relation to high volume
24		transactions, to your point.

You might have a record of transactions, but a

1		lot of the records there wouldn't be records of
2		many different types of OTC transactions like
3		derivatives or transfers of paper wallets or
4		trust relationships, would there?
5	А	There are some indicators in relation to
6		derivative transfers, but by and large I would
7		say no. That is not the kind of information
8		that we would that we produce.
9	Q	Sure. Because they don't those types of
10		transactions, transactions that are derivative
11		of cybercurrency, that transactions where the
12		so-called paper wallet, which is just the key
13		and the password and trust relationships, those
14		don't make it into the blockchain; right?
15	А	Yes, if it occurs offchain we are not going to
16		have a record of it. That is correct.
17	Q	And those types of relationships, derivative and
18		trust relationships, are the types of
19		transactions in which sophisticated banks, hedge
20		funds and private equity groups specialize in
21		that type of transaction, don't they?
22	А	Potentially. It falls outside of my area of
23		expertise in relation to those kinds of
24		financial services, but I assume they do a lot
25		of their business in those spaces.

1	Q	All right. And then some of your clients are
2		governments; correct?
3	А	That is correct.
4	Q	Including some regulatory agencies?
5	A	That is correct.
6	Q	And then others are properly policing, either
7		domestic or international policing; correct?
8	A	Correct.
9	Q	And then other clients are defence contractors;
10		is that right?
11	А	I
12	Q	Military?
13	A	We work with a wide swathe of different kinds
14		ever clients. The way that we usually define
15		publicly our clientele is between the public
16		sector, the cryptocurrency space and traditional
17		financial services, so we work with many
18		different kinds of entities in the public
19		sector.
20	Q	Well, you work with the US Department of
21		Defence; right?
22	A	If that information is public record. I would
23		have to check.
24	Q	You're not sure whether you work with the US

Department of Defence?

25

1	A	As I mentioned before in my previous testimony
2		in relation to the clients that we work with I
3		know that we have confidentiality agreements.
4		What I don't want to do is violate that kind of
5		confidentiality agreement which is why I'm
6		conscious of confirming any kind of information
7		or not confirming any information that might
8		exist.
9	Q	Well, you should tell the people who are running
10		your website because you're currently
11		advertising for somebody to do sales to the US
12		Department of Defence.
13	A	Well, if somebody is potentially going to do
14		sales with the US Department of Defence it
15		doesn't mean that they're currently doing them,
16		does it?
17	Q	Is that your evidence? You're under oath, sir.
18	A	Is what my evidence? I just provided my
19		evidence which is that we work with a myriad of
20		different kinds of government agencies.
21	Q	You're not at liberty to reveal whether you're
22		working for the US Department of Defence? So
23	MS.	ROSE: Mr. Commissioner, Mr. Spiro has already
24		answered this question to the best of his
25		ability. He has advised that providing further

1	detail on this could reveal sensitive and
2	potentially propriety information and that there
3	could be implications for foreign law
4	enforcement regarding notification of these
5	details, and quite frankly this goes beyond what
6	is relevant to our mandate here with the
7	commission. We have not brought this witness
8	with the view to getting into this type of
9	commercial information from Chainalysis. We are
10	dealing with a witness who has not been brought
11	under subpoena as Mr. Spiro is resident in the
12	United States, and I would submit that the
13	witness has answered this question sufficiently
14	already.
15	THE COMMISSIONER: Well, he has in fact answered it.
16	He said that he isn't aware whether or not that
17	is public knowledge or whether or not it's
18	governed by confidentiality concerns, so I think
19	if you could move on, Mr. Gratl.
20	MR. GRATL: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner.
21	Q Chainalysis provides access to its data on the
22	basis of software as a service; is that right?
23	A We provide software as a service. Yes, we
24	provide software as a service. We also provide
25	other kinds of services as I mentioned as well.

0

1 All right. And I take it that what that means 0 2 is that your clients can't actually purchase the 3 Reactor software, they actually just access the 4 software by means of an internet connection; is that right? 5 Our clients can access our products in a number 6 Α 7 of different ways and they can purchase them by way of the internet. Utilization of the 8 9 internet, yes. Again I'm not in -- two things 10 that I should add. One, I'm not on the side of 11 the house, so unfortunately I'm not best suited 12 to answer those kinds of questions. But also in 13 relation to questions that pertain to things 14 like technical implementation and access, again 15 because of the proprietary nature of some of 16 that kind of information I cannot share it. 17 Q All right. I take it some of your clients, 18 though, access over the internet software that's 19 hosted on your servers? 20 Α I believe that to be accurate, yes. 21 0 All right. And then in order to use the 22 software they have to sign a customer agreement; 23 is that right? Yes. I believe that's probably accurate. 2.4 Α

And then the software -- by the -- by your

1		client?
2	А	Sorry, you cut out there for a moment there.
3	Q	Your software tracks the uses of the software by
4		the client. It logs, for example, the types of
5		searches that are conducted and so forth?
6	А	I'm not privy to what kind of information is or
7		not captured in relation to our client's usage.
8	Q	All right. For some customers, in particular
9		virtual asset service providers, they are
10		required to provide you with information about
11		their own clients in order to access your
12		database; correct?
13	А	Potentially that could happen. Also if our
14		clients are if the client is using something
15		like the KYT software, they are integrating and
16		running transactions through that, right, so
17		information would be provided as a result of
18		that as well.
19	Q	Sure. And so that would provide Chainalysis
20		with information that is held by, for example,
21		cryptocurrency exchanges, including the identity
22		of the owners of the wallets?
23	A	No. We would never have any information
24		pertaining to the identities or any PII for the
0.5		

owners of wallets or access to any of that kind

Q

1		of information, nor do we want access to any of
2		that kind of information.
3	Q	In addition to providing software as a service
4		Chainalysis also has an investigative team that
5		will actually participate in investigations with
6		law enforcement groups; is that right?
7	А	That is correct.
8	Q	And certainly while participating in those
9		investigations, it learns about the nature of
10		those investigations and the reasons sometimes
11		why suspects are being targeted?
12	A	Our team supports both the public and private
13		sector in relation to investigations. By and
14		large the information that is provided is in
15		relation to specific wallets. If additional
16		information is required for the investigators to
17		do that kind of work, it would never pertain to
18		PII, but again I'm not one of those
19		investigators, so not best suited to be able to
20		tell you exactly the nature of, you know, how
21		some of those investigations potentially
22		develop.
23	MR.	GRATL: Madam Registrar, could you please remove
24		the screenshot. Thank you kindly.

The information derived from the users of

Q

1		Chainalysis Reactor software is used in part by
2		Chainalysis to ascribe risk; is that correct?
3	А	Yes.
4	Q	Right. So that's not open source information.
5		That's information derived from your client's
6		use of the software; right?
7	А	No. Not necessarily, no. In relation to how we
8		attribute and identify risk, there's a number of
9		different ways in which that's identified. You
10		know, we talked today about the red flags and
11		typologies. For example documentation that FATF
12		produced, there are different kinds of
13		identifiers that exist for how we would qualify
14		something that's potential risky, running those
15		qualifications against data would then identify
16		potential risk.
17	Q	All right. Sure, potential risk, then. But you
18		identify potential risk or ascribe potential
19		risk using in part the user log information;
20		right?
21	А	We would do it based on-the transactions, based
22		on transactions, the transaction history and
23		what the interaction is with potential risky
24		activity.

Sure. But part of what you use in order to

1		assess that is the user log information; right?
2	А	When you say "user log information," can you
3		define what you mean by that.
4	Q	Well, I mean that your software generates a log
5		of all activities undertaken by your clients in
6		the course of using your database or accessing
7		your database?
8	A	I think we do I mean, I think within the
9		software you saw I think that kind of log is
10		generated. I don't know what the scope of that
11		log is, right, in relation to what that looks
12		like, but we do obviously have that kind of
13		information, yes.
14	Q	But your user agreement require that the user
15		log information becomes the proprietary
16		information of chain analysis; right?
17	А	I think that not all of our user agreements are
18		the same. I think some of them require certain
19		information. I think other contracts that we
20		have have different requirements, so I don't
21		think anything would be universal, no.
22	Q	So I didn't say it's universal. So for some
23		users, then, you're saying yes, the user log
24		information becomes proprietary to chain

analysis?

1	А	I have no idea, again because I'm not in
2		contracting. I'm not in sales. I'm not in any
3		of that kind of engagement. So a lot of the
4		questions that you're asking, unfortunately, I
5		am not best suited to answer. I'm sure that's
6		frustrating and I apologize.
7	Q	It is what it is. The risk information then is
8		not just derived from the blockchain and from
9		the register of transactions. There are other
10		sources, some open sources, some proprietary to
11		you and some purchased from other sources. Is
12		that right?
13	А	I don't know if I would say purchased from other
14		sources in relation to how we identify risk. I
15		don't know if I would include that. I do know
16		that we do do some proprietary analysis, we rely
17		on specific regulation that has come out, you
18		know, regulatory specifics. We rely on the
19		data, the attributions that we've made. There's
20		a number of different components that are
21		associated with that.
22	Q	I just note that in your "2020 State of
23		Cryptocrime, " tax evasion is not included.
24	A	So I would encourage you it's funny you

should say that, sir. I would encourage you

1		next week to tune in. I am doing a session we
2		call Links, which is our virtual event series,
3		with two of the J5 chiefs that specifically
4		focus on tax evasion, tax avoidance, in relation
5		to cryptocrime in part. I've done a lot of work
6		and executed some of the first successful cases,
7		and certainly that is a focus area as well that,
8		you know, we have an appetite for and that we
9		work in as well. I think one of the things that
10		you raised which is important and interesting,
11		right, is until you have further regulatory
12		scrutiny around tax in this space, data can only
13		be contributed and built by way of
14		investigations and other information, and I
15		think tax laws and as that pertains to
16		cryptocurrency is something that's still
17		developing around the globe. But certainly not
18		something that was, you know, an oversight on
19		our part. I just think it's a developing kind
20		of category in relation to illicit activity.
21	Q	Is it fair to say, though, that Chainalysis
22		treats large institutional investors as a sign
23		that cryptocurrency is achieving legitimacy
24		rather than a potential source of crime itself?
25	А	I think that we treat our customers and any

1		entities based on the activity that we see on
2		chain and the risk that we're able to identify.
3		We have customers that have had illicit activity
4		associated. We have called that out before. We
5		have identified that. So it's all based on
6		applicability and the kind of data that we have
7		for how we're able to make any kinds of
8		determinations.
9	Q	What would you recommend as a policy
10		prescription to stop money laundering on the
11		part of large institutions?
12	А	That's a juicy question.
13	Q	It is. It's an interesting question and one
14		that your reports don't seem to engage with
15		directly. And quite the opposite. Your reports
16		seem to suggest that large institutional
17		transactions are a good thing for crypto and a
18		sign that crime is on the wane rather than
19		waxing, blossoming. Isn't
20	А	I think that the question
21	Q	Sorry.
22	А	No, I think the question is predicated on what
23		is defined and determined to be illicit
24		activity. I think it's very difficult given the

kind of information that we have access to, what

1		we've been able to develop, to make those kinds
2		of determinations based on what currently exists
3		and the laws that currently exist in relation to
4		the kind of data and determinations and
5		assumptions we could potentially make.
6	Q	Well, you've got gambling, sextortion, phishing
7		scams, Ponzi schemes and things like that in
8		your list of illicit activity, and that type of
9		activity is used to build what you call the
10		typologies of crime and build groups such as the
11		Rogue 100 set out in your report. But I don't
12		see any reference to crimes committed by large
13		institutions like bread price fixing
14		transactions or diversion of pharmaceutical
15		opiates or bribery of public officials.
16	А	Yeah, I mean, if there's a cryptocurrency nexus
17		directly that can be attributed to any of those
18		kinds of cases or activity that is something
19		that with we qualify. I think that falls
20		outside of what we see traditionally in the
21		cryptospace and the kind of determinations that
22		we can make. I referenced earlier in this
23		testimony, and this is an important distinction,
24		in relation to activity that happens offchain,
25		right, we don't have visibility into that,

1	right, in relation to the actual blockchain
2	information and history. If one of those
3	institutions that you're talking about gets
4	charged, right, huge civil penalty. Something
5	big comes out, they get hammered, and there's a
6	nexus with crypto. There is a potential impact
7	there depending upon what that nexus with crypto
8	is and what their interaction is with the
9	ecosystem. So, you know, that's kind of my
10	response
11	Q But you
12	THE COMMISSIONER: Sorry, I think the witness was
13	hadn't completed his answer yet, Mr. Gratl.
14	MR. GRATL:
15	Q Sorry. Go ahead. Sorry for interrupting.
16	A I was finished.
17	Q I thought you were done your answer.
18	A I was. No, no, no, I was just saying it's very
19	hard. What we're usually doing is there's some
20	kind of fairly linear connection. So this is
21	going way out in left field and trying to make
22	that potential connection based on a lot of
23	speculation, and I don't say that outside of the
24	fact that unless you can provide me tangible
25	evidence where big financial institutions or

1		other are directly engaged in this kind of
2		activity in the cryptocurrency ecosystem. If
3		you can provide that I would love to see it
4		because, again, we are white hats. We're trying
5		to make this a clean ecosystem. I just don't
6		know of it.
7	Q	Do you monitor the crimes committed by large
8		financial institutions?
9	А	So I've done a lot of work with Transparency
10		International. I used to head up the
11		World-Check product line when I was with both
12		Thomson Reuters and Refintiv. I'm intimately
13		familiar with illicit activity that has occurred
14		as a result of a myriad of different kinds of
15		activity executed by large financial
16		institutions. I teach a number of courses for
17		the Association of Certified Anti-Money
18		Laundering Specialists in relation to large
19		financial institutions. In short what I'm
20		saying is I am intimately familiar. I could
21		tell you about any of the big banks from BNP
22		Parabas to Wells Fargo, all the different kinds
23		of initiatives that have occurred historically,
24		legacy, the different kinds of information
25		sharing relationships that now exist as a

1		result, specifically and explicitly, of
2		different kinds of illicit activity. I
3		personally am certainly aware of that kind of
4		activity and things that we've seen in the past.
5		But again, we're talking about virtual assets
6		right now, and the interconnectivity, it feels
7		like this is a bit of a reach to try and make
8		that connection.
9	Q	Well, in Canada, for example, we have Scotiabank
10		was involved in handling bribes for a large
11		infrastructure project in Costa Rica and the
12		engineering firm SNC-Lavalin recently accepted
13		its responsibility for infrastructure bribes for
14		the son of Muammar Gaddafi in Libya. Does that
15		kind of information get added to your database
16		as an alert for companies that engage in
17		criminal activity?
18	А	Do our database, no, because our database is
19		filled with companies and entities that are,
20		again, within the virtual asset space. If one
21		of those companies that you just mentioned, if
22		that company that you just mentioned were
23		entering into the cryptocurrency ecosystem or
24		was in the cryptocurrency ecosystem, that
25		information would certainly potentially be

1		relevant; right? Might surface. But again
2		we're talking about a specific ecosystem; right?
3	Q	Sure. But do you as a company prevent those
4		types of large institutions that have been
5		convicted of serious regulatory offences or
6		crimes from accessing your ecosystem, your data
7		set?
8	А	Well, any of the large financial entities that
9		you just referenced and I just referenced have
10		not been shut down. They've usually faced civil
11		enforcement penalties, corrective actions, for
12		example. They're still in operation.
13		Additionally if you look at the scope of the
14		illicit activity, comparatively to the entirety
15		of the activity, you know actually, forget
16		that last part. Scope, right. Any illicit
17		activity is bad illicit activity, and I do
18		believe that wholeheartedly, but if they faced
19		civil action, if they're still in operation if
20		they've taken corrective measures, if those
21		kinds of things have happened, I would be
22		curious to know why you would then try to deny
23		access to more financial inclusion banking or
24		services, which is again based on the concept of
25		institutional adoption something that, you know,

A

1		I think we encourage.
2	Q	I'm concerned that the way your database is
3		structured and the way your alerts are
4		constructed and the way illicit activity is
5		constructed the services you provide contribute
6		to the selective enforcement of money laundering
7		laws?
8	А	I would not think that there's any selective
9		enforcement. I think there have been
10		deficiencies that have specifically been
11		identified for a number of years that were
12		identified in relation to the virtual asset
13		ecosystem and that we're providing support and
14		services to build more financial integrity in
15		the cryptocurrency space. And as more
16		institutional adoption does occur, because it is
17		relatively limited to be clear right now
18		although developing, then there is other
19		potential information, specific risks that could
20		potentially be identified, et cetera. Right?
21		But as of right now there's disparity, I would
22		say, and it's not interconnected like is
23		potentially being proposed. On the illicit.
24	Q	I'm being told that I'm out of time.

I have to tell you something, I loved working

- 1 with Transparency International. I think you do
- 2 fantastic work and I just would like to say
- 3 that.
- 4 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you then.
- 5 MR. GRATL: Thank you kindly.
- 6 THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you, Mr. Gratl. Is there
- 7 anything arising as far as you're concerned,
- 8 Ms. Magonet?
- 9 MS. MAGONET: Nothing arising, Mr. Commissioner, but
- I wanted to mention that I failed to ask you
- 11 earlier if we could have the PDF from the
- 12 Chainalysis website marked as an exhibit.
- 13 THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, I think we're at exhibit 160
- 14 now.
- THE REGISTRAR: 260.
- 16 THE COMMISSIONER: 260. I'm only out by a hundred.
- 17 EXHIBIT 260: Chainalysis Reactor webpage
- THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you. Ms.
- 19 Chewka.
- 20 MS. CHEWKA: Nothing from me, Mr. Commissioner.
- THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. Ms. Rose.
- MS. ROSE: No further questions for me,
- Mr. Commissioner.
- 24 THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you. Thank you,
- 25 Mr. Spiro, and you're excused now from any

1 further testimony. Thank you for your time. 2 (WITNESS EXCUSED) 3 THE COMMISSIONER: Ms. Rose, I haven't heard from any 4 of the participants whether or not they require Mr. Place. Is that something you wish to deal 5 with them on offline or is that something we 6 7 could profitably deal with at this point? MS. ROSE: I might suggest for efficiency's sake that 8 9 we have participants if there are any further 10 concerns arising from this say so now on the record. 11 12 THE COMMISSIONER: Right. Ms. Chewka. 13 MS. CHEWKA: No, nothing from me, Mr. Commissioner. 14 THE COMMISSIONER: Ms. Magonet? 15 MS. MAGONET: Nothing from me, thank you. THE COMMISSIONER: And Mr. Gratl? 16 17 MR. GRATL: Yes, Mr. Commissioner, I do have some 18 remaining questions of a technical nature 19 dealing with --20 THE COMMISSIONER: I'm sorry, you're breaking up. 21 Sorry, Mr. Gratl, you're breaking up on me. 22 MS. ROSE: I wonder if it might be preferable in 23 light of the ... MR. GRATL: Is that any better, Mr. Commissioner? 24

THE COMMISSIONER: Yes, it is. Thank you. Yes,

1	thank you.
2	MR. GRATL: Subject to your being interested in
3	further exploration of the topic,
4	Mr. Commissioner, I'd like to ask further
5	questions about the sources of information used
6	by Chainalysis to generate its list of illicit
7	transactions and Rogue 100 and so forth in
8	service of the larger notion that large
9	institutional actors, including Canadian banks,
10	are effectively insulated by the structure or
11	the architecture of the Chainalysis Reactor
12	software from scrutiny.
13	THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Ms. Rose, do you have a
14	position on that?
15	MS. ROSE: I do have some concerns with respect to
15 16	MS. ROSE: I do have some concerns with respect to those questions, Mr. Commissioner. In my view
16	those questions, Mr. Commissioner. In my view
16 17	those questions, Mr. Commissioner. In my view Mr. Gratl has explored this area with Mr. Spiro
16 17 18	those questions, Mr. Commissioner. In my view Mr. Gratl has explored this area with Mr. Spiro already. And I'm not clear how those questions
16 17 18 19	those questions, Mr. Commissioner. In my view Mr. Gratl has explored this area with Mr. Spiro already. And I'm not clear how those questions would be within either of the witnesses'
16 17 18 19 20	those questions, Mr. Commissioner. In my view Mr. Gratl has explored this area with Mr. Spiro already. And I'm not clear how those questions would be within either of the witnesses' expertise here today based on the CVs tendered
16 17 18 19 20 21	those questions, Mr. Commissioner. In my view Mr. Gratl has explored this area with Mr. Spiro already. And I'm not clear how those questions would be within either of the witnesses' expertise here today based on the CVs tendered as evidence. But I do wonder if we might want

THE COMMISSIONER: Okay. Yeah, I don't want to

25

1	unnecessarily curtail his quest for cogent
2	information. I do however remind everyone that
3	we're concerned with the problem of money
4	laundering in British Columbia, and that as I
5	understand it this portion of the evidence is
6	devoted to exploring the issue of the risks that
7	are associated with the development and
8	evolution of virtual currencies. Certainly the
9	question of whether or not large institutions
10	are involved in money laundering is potentially
11	a question of interest. I'm not sure whether it
12	intersects with these witnesses' evidence or
13	not, but I'm perhaps a little unclear,
14	Mr. Gratl, on what it is you're driving at. So
15	maybe it's a good idea to discuss it offline
16	with Ms. Rose and see if you can arrive at some
17	accommodation. All right?
18	MR. GRATL: Thank you, Mr. Commissioner.
19	THE COMMISSIONER: Thank you. We will adjourn, then,
20	until tomorrow at 9:30 unless there's something
21	else to deal with, Ms. Rose or Mr. Martland.
22	MS. ROSE: Nothing further from my end, thank you,
23	Mr. Commissioner.
24	THE COMMISSIONER: All right. Thank you. Tomorrow

at 9:30 then.

25

Jesse Spiro (for the commission) Exam by Mr. Gratl

1	THE REGISTRAR: The hearing is adjourned until
2	November 25th, 2020 at 9:30 a.m. Thank you.
3	(PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 1:36 P.M. TO NOVEMBER 25,
4	2020)
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	